arXiv:1511.03724v1 [math-ph] 11 Nov 2015

Can we trust the relationship between resonance poles and lifetimes?

Ira Herbst and Rajinder Mavi

November 13, 2015

Abstract

We show that the shape resonances induced by a one dimensional well of delta functions disappear as soon as a small constant electric field is applied. In particular, in any compact subset of $\{z : \mathrm{Re} z > 0, \mathrm{Im}\ z < 0\}$ there are no resonances if the non-zero field is small enough. In contrast to the lack of convergence of the lifetimes computed from the widths of the resonances we show that the "experimental lifetimes" are continuous at zero field. The shape resonances are replaced by an infinite set of other resonances whose location and number we analyze.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Acknowledgements **5**

3 Description of resonances **5**
3.1 The Hamiltonian (1.1), the shape resonance 6
3.2 The shape resonance perturbed by an electric field (1.3), proof of Theorem 1.1 6
3.2.1 Approximations of the function D_f 7
3.2.2 Proof of Lemma 3.3 9

4 Approximation of dynamics: Proofs of Theorems 1.3, 1.4 **14**

5 The shape resonance perturbed by a distant Dirichlet boundary (1.7) **15**

6 Counting of resonances, proof of Theorem 1.2 **17**
6.1 Zero counting theorems of Carleman and Jensen and proof of Theorem 6.1 18
6.2 Calculations of Jensen's and Carleman's integrals for D_f 22
6.2.1 Approximation of $\log B_f$ 23
6.2.2 Proof of Proposition 6.5 23
6.2.3 Proof of Proposition 6.4 24

A Green's functions under perturbation by delta functions **27**

B Analysis of relevant functions **28**
B.1 The functions $F_\pm(s,t;w) = 1 + i\frac{1 \pm e^{itw}}{sw}$ 28
B.2 The function $g_b(w) = \sin w + bw\cos(w)$ 30

C Analytic functions **31**
C.1 Cartan estimate 32

D Details of resonances and associated functions **32**
D.1 The associated function for resonances of (1.7) 32
D.2 The associated function for resonances of (1.3) 33
D.2.1 Behavior at the origin of D_f for the shape resonance plus electric field 33
D.2.2 Properties of the Airy function 34
D.2.3 Approximation of D_f in the region $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{|z| > f^a\}$ 35

1 Introduction

With few exceptions, virtually all long-lived states in nature are resonances, so it is important to understand how these states behave under small perturbations, just as it is for bound states.

Recently, an example was discovered of a pre-existing resonance [9] of a Hamiltonian, which was not stable under an application of a small constant electric field: none of the new resonances converge to the one pre-existing resonance when the field strength is taken to zero. This is surprising because it is known that discrete eigenvalues of atomic Hamiltonians move continuously into the complex plane and become resonances when a small constant electric field is applied. (See for example [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 19].) It might be expected that the same stability is true for atomic resonances near the real axis, for example the Auger states in helium, but this is not known.

The example in [9] is a resonance created in a Friedrich's (or Weisskopf) model

$$H_F = \begin{pmatrix} p^2 & \mu\varphi \\ \mu\langle\varphi,\cdot\rangle & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$. For $\mu = 0$, the model has an embedded eigenvalue in the continuous spectrum at 1, and as $\mu \to 0$ the single resonance approaches the embedded eigenvalue continuously. One might expect that perturbing $p^2 \to p^2 + fx$ and letting $f \to 0$ one would see the resonances approach those of H_F. However, it is shown that this is not so. None of the new resonances approach the resonance of H_F. Instead an infinite set of new resonances is created and they all move to the real axis as $f \to 0$.

The natural question that arises then, is whether this unusual behavior is an artifact of the particular somewhat non-physical model above. In response to these questions we consider the scenario of a shape resonance combined with a small constant electric field.

Thus consider the self-adjoint realization of the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\kappa,\eta} = p^2 + \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left(\delta_\kappa + \delta_{-\kappa}\right) \tag{1.1}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $p = -i\frac{d}{dx}$ and $\kappa > 0$. This is analogous to H_F above when $\mu \neq 0$. If we take $\eta \downarrow 0$ the monotone convergence theorem for forms [16] gives the operator

$$H_0 = H_- \oplus H_D \oplus H_+ \tag{1.2}$$

where $H_- = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ in $L^2((-\infty,-\kappa))$ with zero boundary conditions at $-\kappa$, $H_D = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ in $L^2((-\kappa,\kappa))$ with zero boundary conditions at $\pm\kappa$, and $H_+ = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ in $L^2((\kappa,\infty))$ with zero boundary conditions at κ. This Hamiltonian is analogous to H_F above with $\mu = 0$. It has an infinite number of discrete eigenvalues embeddded in its continuous spectrum, namely the eigenvalues $(n\pi/2\kappa)^2, n \geq 1$ instead of the one embedded eigenvalue in the case of H_F with $\mu = 0$. The Hamiltonian $H_{\kappa,\eta}$ has no eigenvalues for $\eta > 0$, but the embedded eigenvalues of H_0 become resonances which move continuously as a

function of η. These are the shape resonances. Then as with H_F we perturb with a small electric field by taking $p^2 \to p^2 + fx$ to obtain the self-adjoint realization of

$$H_{\kappa,\eta,f} = p^2 + fx + \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left(\delta_\kappa + \delta_{-\kappa}\right) \tag{1.3}$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This (and $H_{\kappa,\eta}$) will be our main objects of study.

The resonances are defined mathematically as the poles of the Green's functions, $(H_{\kappa,\eta,f}-z)^{-1}(x,y)$, defined on the upper half plane upon being extended below the positive real axis. The resonance z_n of $H_{\kappa,\eta}$ near the eigenvalue $E_n = (n\pi/2\kappa)^2$ (these are the shape resonances) can easily be calculated perturbatively for small $\eta > 0$. To second order we obtain (see Proposition B.2)

$$\sqrt{z_n} = (n\pi/2\kappa)(1 - \frac{\eta}{\kappa} + (\frac{\eta}{\kappa})^2 - i\frac{\eta^2}{\kappa}(n\pi/2\kappa)) + O(\eta^3). \tag{1.4}$$

On the other hand once the field is turned on, for small f these resonances disappear without a trace:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\kappa, \eta > 0$, $M > 20$ and $\beta \in (0,1)$. Then there is some $f_{\kappa,\eta,\beta} > 0$ so that for any $f \in (0, f_{\kappa,\eta,\beta})$ all resonances of $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$ have absolute value between $f^{\frac{2}{3\beta}}$ and $f^{\beta/2}$ or are contained in the set*

$$\{z : |z| > f^{\beta/2}; |\arg z + 2\pi/3| < |z|^{-1}(1+|z|^{-1/2})Mf\log(f^{-1}+|z|) \text{ or } |\arg z| < |z|^{-3/2}Mf\log(f^{-1}+|z|)\}$$

It is interesting to count the resonances just below the positive real axis for small f. We have the following result: Let $\mathcal{J}[a,b]$ be the number of resonances of $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$ below the segment $[a,b]$ on the real axis counted with multiplicity. Then

Theorem 1.2 *If $0 < a < b/2$, then $0 < \liminf_{f\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{J}[a,b]}{1/f} \le \limsup_{f\to 0} \frac{\mathcal{J}[a,b]}{1/f} < \infty$.*

The origin of these resonances is discussed briefly at the end of this section.

The results of this paper and [9] stand in contrast to a theory of resonances under perturbations developed by Agmon in [3]. In that work the resonances are eigenvalues of an extension of the operator to a larger space. These eigenvalues move analytically as a function of the perturbation parameter. The results obtained in that paper do not apply to our models because of the singular nature of the electric field perturbation.

We now turn to the dynamics given by $e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta,f}}$. Specifically we are interested in the behavior of $e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta,f}}\phi$ for small $f > 0$ where $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and where ϕ has bounded energy. We enforce the latter restriction by replacing ϕ with $\chi(H_{\kappa,\eta,f})\phi$ with χ a continuous function of compact support. Our first result does not mention resonances.

Theorem 1.3 *Suppose $T > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ are given. Then there is an $f_{\epsilon,T,\chi,\phi} > 0$ so that for $0 \le t \le T$, $0 < f < f_{\epsilon,T,\chi,\phi}$ and all $\eta > 0$*

$$||e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta,f}}\chi(H_{\kappa,\eta,f})\phi - e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta}}\chi(H_{\kappa,\eta})\phi|| < \epsilon \tag{1.5}$$

Thus for arbitrarily long times the electric field can be chosen small enough so that within a preassigned tolerance the particle acts as if there were no electric field . This is certainly physically reasonable. In addition, it is certainly unavoidable that $f_{\epsilon,T,\chi,\phi}$ cannot be chosen independent of T for eventually a (classical) particle will be turned back by the electric field, no matter how small the field is as long as it is non-zero. In fact this is true quantum mechanically as well. Let $H_f = p^2 + fx$. Then one

easily sees that the wave operators $\lim_{t\to\pm\infty} e^{itH_{\kappa,\eta,f}} e^{-itH_f}$ exists and are unitary for $f > 0$. This in turn follows (see [18]) from the fact that $(H_{\kappa,\eta,f} - i)^{-1} - (H_f - i)^{-1}$ is trace class (it is actually rank two) and that the spectra of both these operators are absolutely continuous (see Section 3).

Our next result is the usual one making the connection between the lifetime of states and the imaginary part of resonances (see for example [12], [13] or [4]). Even though this kind of result has been proved in various settings, we have not seen a proof which applies directly to our situation. Since we are interested in the effect of the shape resonances we take ϕ to be zero outside $[-\kappa, \kappa]$. We also choose χ to be a continuous function with $1_{[a,b]} \le \chi \le 1_{[a-\delta,b+\delta]}$ where $0 < a < b < \infty$. We choose a, b, δ so that there are no eigenvalues of H_D in $[a-\delta, a] \cup [b, b+\delta]$. We choose closed disjoint disks centered at the eigenvalues of H_D in $[a, b]$ with each disk D_j containing exactly one eigenvalue, E_j. For small enough η there is exactly one resonance $z_j(\eta)$ in each disk (see (1.4) and Propositions 3.1 and B.1).

Theorem 1.4 *Given $\epsilon > 0$, ϕ, and χ as above, denote the eigenvalues of H_D in the support of χ by $E_j, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and their corresponding normalized eigenfunctions by ϕ_j. There is an $\eta_\epsilon > 0$ so that for all $t \ge 0$ and all η with $0 < \eta < \eta_\epsilon$*

$$|\langle \phi, e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta}} \chi(H_{\kappa,\eta})\phi\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\langle \phi, \phi_j\rangle|^2 e^{-itz_j(\eta)}| < \epsilon. \tag{1.6}$$

Here $z_j(\eta)$ is the resonance arising from the eigenvalue E_j.

Combining the results of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 we see that the lack of resonances of $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$ near the shape resonances of $H_{\kappa,\eta}$ for small η and f does not contradict the expected physics (although it certainly contradicts our mathematical expectations). We will come back to this shortly.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The Green's function of the Hamiltonian perturbed by two delta functions can be determined by standard methods and we describe this in Appendix A. The resonances are identified with the zeros of a certain determinant as described in that appendix. In Section 3 we describe the resonances and determine regions in $\mathbb{C}$ where resonances do not exist, In particular Theorem 1.1 is proved in this section. In Section 4 we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 concerning the dynamics. In Section 6 we use growth properties of the determinant to count the resonances in certain domains of $\mathbb{C}$. Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 3.2 and Lemma D.1.

One may wonder if the instability in the model with Hamiltonian $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$ as $f \to 0$ is due to the fact that the energy is unbounded below. Note that when $\eta \downarrow 0$ and $f > 0$ a particle located to the right of κ is trapped no matter how small f is. The spectrum of the corresponding Hamiltonian in $L^2((\kappa, \infty))$ with a Dirichlet boundary condition at κ is pure point with discrete eigenvalues. Thus we consider a secondary problem which has the advantage of not accessing an infinite energy reservoir but also does not allow escape to $+\infty$. Let

$$\tilde{H}_{\kappa,\eta,l} = p^2 + \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left(\delta_\kappa + \delta_{-\kappa}\right) \tag{1.7}$$

be an operator in $L^2(-\infty, l)$ with a Dirichlet condition at $l > \kappa$. We think of $l \to \infty$ as analogous to $f \downarrow 0$.

This Hamiltonian, $\tilde{H}_{\kappa,\eta,l}$, has resonances similar to those of $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$ at least in the right half plane. This bolsters our opinion that these resonances are remnants of the discrete eigenvalues of the two operators p^2 with Dirichlet boundary conditions at κ and l in $L^2(\kappa, l)$ and the operator $p^2 + fx$ with a Dirichlet boundary condition at κ in $L^2(\kappa, \infty)$. The same instability occurs as $l \to \infty$ as when $f \downarrow 0$ and thus it is unrelated to the unboundedness below of $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$. We discuss this further in Section 3.

A trivial classical calculation shows that a particle moving to the right with kinetic energy k^2 will take a time of order of $2k/f$ to return after being pushed back by the electric field or a time l/k to

reflect off the wall at l and return. This might indicate resonances near $k^2 - iNf/2k$ for small f or $k^2 - iNk/l$ for large l where N is some measure of the number of times the particle comes back and hits the delta potential at κ before escaping. The former is in rough agreement with Theorem 1.1.

2 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Larry Thomas and Kiril Datchev for enlightening discussions.

3 Description of resonances

We now define the resonances we study in this paper. We start with the Green's function which is analytic in the upper half plane as a function of the spectral parameter. The resonances will be defined as poles in the lower half plane in the analytically continued Green's function. To find the Green's functions we remove the delta potentials from the Hamiltonian and use the second resolvent equation to add them back in. This gives an easily solved equation for the Green's functions. Details of this calculation are given in Appendix A.

The Hamiltonian (1.1) approaches the free Schrödinger operator in the $\eta \to \infty$ limit. On the other hand the Hamiltonian (1.3) becomes an Airy Hamiltonian as $\eta \to \infty$. For now let K_∞ be the Hamiltonian in (1.1) or (1.3) with $\eta = \infty$. Then for $0 < \eta < \infty$ we may write

$$K_\eta = K_\infty + \eta^{-1}(\delta_\kappa + \delta_{-\kappa})$$

The analytic continuation of this function below the real axis results in poles which we identify as the resonances of the model. Let the square integrable solution at $-\infty$ of $K_\infty u = zu$ for $\Im z > 0$ be $u = \psi$ and the square integrable solution at $+\infty$ be $u = \phi$ and denote the Wronskian by $\mathcal{W} = \psi'\phi - \phi'\psi$. Then the Green's function of the K_∞ Hamiltonian is given by $G_z^{(\infty)}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{W}}\psi(x)\phi(y)$ for $x < y$.

Let $\alpha_\pm = \psi(\pm\kappa)\phi(\pm\kappa)$ and $\beta_\pm = \psi(\mp\kappa)\phi(\pm\kappa)$, notice $\alpha_+\alpha_- = \beta_+\beta_-$. Let $g_\pm(x) = G_z^{(\infty)}(\pm\kappa, x)$, then the Green's function for $0 < \eta < \infty$ is given by

$$G_z^{(\eta)}(x,y) = G_z^{(\infty)}(x,y) - \frac{1}{\eta^2 \mathcal{W} D} L_z(x,y) \tag{3.1}$$

Where

$$L_z(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} g_+(x) & g_-(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \eta\mathcal{W} + \alpha_- & -\beta_+ \\ -\beta_+ & \eta\mathcal{W} + \alpha_+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_+(y) \\ g_-(y) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D(z) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\eta\mathcal{W}} + \frac{\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+)}{\eta^2\mathcal{W}^2} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that $\eta^2\mathcal{W}^2 D$ is the determinant of the above matrix.

As we discuss in detail below, D is an entire analytic function of z when K_∞ is the $\eta = \infty$ case of (1.3) and an analytic function of $\sqrt{z}$ (except for a pole at 0) when K_∞ is the $\eta = \infty$ case of (1.1). Likewise the functions $\mathcal{W}$, $\alpha_\pm$, $\beta_\pm$ and $g_\pm(x)$ for fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ are analytic in their corresponding variables (z or $\sqrt{z}$). For fixed $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the Green's functions $G_z^{(\eta)}(x,y)$ and $G_z^{(\infty)}(x,y)$ are analytic in the upper half plane.

We claim there are no zeros of D in the upper half plane and zeros of D in the lower half plane lead to poles of $G^{(\eta)}$. To see there are no zeros of D in the upper half plane notice that D is independent of x, y. Therefore if $D(z) = 0$ at some z_0 in the upper half plane then $L_{z_0}(x,y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $G^{(\eta)}$ remains analytic in the upper half plane as a bounded operator. Making use of the fact that $\phi(x)\phi(y), \phi(x)\psi(y), \psi(x)\phi(y)$, and $\psi(x)\psi(y)$ are linearly independent functions for z_0 in the upper half

plane it is easily shown that $D(z_0) = 0$ and $L_{z_0} = 0$ cannot both be true. A similar argument shows that a zero of D in the lower half plane gives a pole of $G^{(\eta)}$. We omit the details.

Both (1.1) and (1.3) have no eigenfunctions, which is well known and follows from the fact that $K_\eta u = Eu$ has no square integrable solutions at $-\infty$ for $E \in \mathbb{R}$. In this sense the two Hamiltonians are similar. On the other hand, $p^2 \to p^2 + fx$ is a large perturbation. As discussed in the introduction the spectra are vastly different with $\sigma(p^2) = [0, \infty)$ while $\sigma(p^2 + fx) = \mathbb{R}$. This indicates that we should treat the cases of the (1.1) and (1.3) Hamiltonians separately. Therefore, we begin in Section 3.1 with the resonances of Hamiltonian (1.1) and continue in Section 3.2 with the analysis of the resonances of Hamiltonian (1.3). In particular Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3.2 using Proposition 3.2 with some help from Lemma D.1.

3.1 The Hamiltonian (1.1), the shape resonance

While (1.4) gives an approximation of the resonances for small η, this approximation is not uniform in n. We now present uniform approximations of the shape resonances over the right half plane. Again proofs are contained in the appendix.

We return to the free problem on $\mathbb{R}$ perturbed by two delta functions, equation (1.1), and refer to (3.2) for how to calculate the resonances in the lower half plane. Then $\psi = e^{-i\sqrt{z}x}$ is the left solution, $\phi = e^{i\sqrt{z}x}$ is the right solution and the Wronskian is $\mathcal{W} = \psi'\phi - \phi'\psi = -i2\sqrt{z}$. In this case (3.2) becomes

$$D_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{-i\eta\sqrt{z}} + \frac{1 - e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{-4\eta^2 z} = \frac{(2\eta\sqrt{z} + i)^2 + e^{4i\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{4\eta^2 z}, \tag{3.3}$$

and the zeros $\mathcal{Z}_0$ of this function are the resonances.

Observe that D_0 may be factored as $D_0 = F_+(2\eta, 2\kappa; \sqrt{z})F_-(2\eta, 2\kappa; \sqrt{z})$ where

$$F_\pm(s, t; w) = 1 + i\frac{1 \pm e^{itw}}{sw} \tag{3.4}$$

The following holds for the $F_\pm$ functions. Fix $t = 2\kappa > s = 2\eta > 0$ and let $w = u + iv = \sqrt{z}$.

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose $t > s$. Then all zeros of $F_\pm(s, t; w)$ in the right half plane are contained in the set*

$$\{w : u > 0; -(2t)^{-1}\log(1 + (su)^2) < v < -(4t)^{-1}\log(1 + (su)^2)\}$$

Moreover, in the right half plane, the zeros of F_+ are given as exactly one zero w_n^+ with real part in each interval $t^{-1}(n\pi, (n+1)\pi)$ for nonnegative even integers n. The zeros of F_- in the right half plane are given exactly as one zero w_n^- with real part in each interval $t^{-1}(n\pi, (n+1)\pi)$ for nonnegative odd integers n.

As for the zeros in the left half plane, these are exactly the reflections across the imaginary axis of the zeros in the right half plane.

This result is proved in Appendix B.1, in Propositions B.1 and B.3.

3.2 The shape resonance perturbed by an electric field (1.3), proof of Theorem 1.1

Our goal in this section is first to find the function (3.2) associated to (1.3), then to locate the zeros of the function. It will be seen that the associated function is entire analytic in z.

We refer to (3.2) for the defnition of the function which determines the resonances. In this case, the Hamiltonian $K_\infty = H_{\kappa,\infty,f} = p^2 + fx$ is unperturbed by delta functions. The solutions g to

$(p^2 + fx - z)g = 0$ may be expressed in terms of Airy functions. In particular, for z in the upper half plane, the solutions of $(H_{\kappa,\infty,f} - z)g = 0$ which are L^2 on the left and right are respectively,

$$\psi(x) = A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}(1 - \tfrac{xf}{z})\right) \text{ and } \phi(x) = A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}(1 - \tfrac{xf}{z})\right)$$

where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/3}$ and $A(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(tx+t^3/3)}dt$. The Wronskian of these solutions is $\mathcal{W} = \psi'\phi - \phi'\psi = f^{1/3}e^{-i\pi/6}/2\pi$.

With the notation $\theta_\pm = 1 - \frac{\pm\kappa f}{z}$, we write α and β in (3.2),

$$\alpha_\pm = \psi(\pm\kappa)\phi(\pm\kappa) = A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\beta_\pm = \psi(\mp\kappa)\phi(\pm\kappa) = A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\mp\right)A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right). \tag{3.6}$$

We refer to D in (3.2) with these value of $\alpha_\pm$, $\beta_\pm$ and $\mathcal{W}$ as D_f. The analyticity of D_f follows immediately from the analyticity of the Airy function.

The zeros of D_f are exactly the resonances.

3.2.1 Approximations of the function D_f

Although the function D_f is entire, in some regions of the plane there may be highly oscillatory behavior, especially as $f \to 0$, so extracting useful estimates from the definition above of the Airy function is difficult. We rely on classical asymptotic expansions of the Airy functions which hold on limited sets of the plane and even then we must be careful of sets where approximations are difficult. Thus let $0 < a < 1/2$ and define for all $f > 0$

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > f^a\}. \tag{3.7}$$

We obtain useful asymptotic expansions on $\mathcal{R}_0$ for sufficiently small $f > 0$. On the other hand, the expansions suggest the function D_f is highly oscillatory in the vicinity of the rays $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = -2\pi/3$ and thus in these regions it is difficult to obtain useful approximations. However in the connected regions in the complement of these rays one does find useful approximations. Write $z = re^{i\theta}$, and for given $a \in (0, 1/2)$ define the sets for some $M >> 1$ ($M > 20$ is sufficient)

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \left\{z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathcal{R}_0 : -2\pi/3 + r^{-3/2}Mf\log(r + f^{-1}) < \theta < -r^{-3/2}Mf\log(r + f^{-1})\right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \left\{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : -2\pi \le \theta < -2\pi/3 - r^{-1}(1 + r^{-1/2})Mf\log(r + f^{-1})\right\}.$$

We will show that for small f, D_f has no zeros in these regions.

Proposition 3.2 *For $0 < a < 1/2$ and $M > 20$ there is an $f_{a,M} > 0$ so that for $0 < f < f_{a,M}$, there are no zeros of D_f in the sets $\mathcal{R}_1$ or $\mathcal{R}_2$.*

Remark Up to showing there are no zeros close to the origin, Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 3.2. The separation of the zeros from the origin follows from Lemma D.1.

Remark Proposition 3.2 will follow from the asymptotic properties of D_f in $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$. We will state the approximations and then prove the Proposition. Asymptotic approximations over most of the complex plane are also needed in Section 6, so in this section we state somewhat more details than are strictly necessary for the proof of Proposition 3.2. In particular, we state approximations over most of the upper half plane, where we have already established that D_f has no zeros.

It is convenient to define the pair of functions

$$g_b(w) = \sin(w) + bw\cos(w), \text{ and } h_0 = \left(2\eta\sqrt{z} + i\right)^2 + e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}. \tag{3.8}$$

As stated in the remarks we will later require estimates for D_f over most of the complex plane. Therefore, we exchange $\mathcal{R}_2$ for a set where asymptotic expansions can be obtained,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2 = \{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : 4\pi/3 - (1 + r^{-1/2})r^{-1}fM\log(f^{-1} + r) > \theta > r^{-3/2}fM\log(f^{-1} + r)\}.$$

Now D_f is approximated in $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ in terms of the functions in (3.8). This means that $\sqrt{z} = |z|^{1/2}e^{i\theta/2}$ where the ranges of θ are given in the definitions of $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$. D_f is approximated in $\mathcal{R}_1$ by

$$F_1(z) = \frac{g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})}{2z\eta^2} e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f} + i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}$$

and D_f is approximated in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ by

$$F_2(z) = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2} h_0(z).$$

Lemma 3.3 *For $0 < a < 1/2$ and $M > 20$ there is an $f_{a,M} > 0$ and finite C so that for $0 < f < f_{a,M}$, and $z \in \mathcal{R}_1$ we have,*

$$\left|\frac{D_f(z)}{F_1(z)} - 1\right| \le C\frac{1}{\log(|z| + f^{-1})}$$

and for $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ we have the approximation

$$|D_f(z) - F_2(z)| \le Cf^2|z|^{-5/2}\left(1 + |z|^{-3/2}\right).$$

This lemma will follow from Lemma 3.5 in Section 3.2.2. One more lemma is needed, a simple lower bound for g_b. An upper bound will be useful later, in Section 6, so we state that here as well. The proof of the following lemma is the content of Appendix B.2.

Lemma 3.4 *For $|w| > 0$ and $-\pi < \arg w < \pi$, let $w = u + iv$. Then*

$$[b|w| + 1]\cosh|v| > |g_b(w)| > \sqrt{(b|w|)^2 + 1}\sinh|v|.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2

The proof of Proposition 3.2 follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. Indeed, in $\mathcal{R}_1$ the quantity $F_1(z)$ cannot be zero so if $D_f(z) = 0$ then $1 \le C/\log(r + f^{-1})$ which is impossible for small f.

Since we have already eliminated the possibility of zeros in the upper half plane we can replace $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_2' = \{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : \pi < \arg z < 4\pi/3 - r^{-1}(1 + r^{-1/2})Mf\log(r + f^{-1})\}$$

In this set we have $\Im\sqrt{z} = r^{1/2}\sin(\theta/2) \ge r^{1/2}\sqrt{3}/2$ which gives

$$|F_2(z)| = |h_0(z)/4z\eta^2| \ge [(\sqrt{3}\eta r^{1/2} + 1)^2 - 1]/4r\eta^2 > 3/4.$$

On the other hand in $\mathcal{R}_0$ we have the bound on the error term $f^2|z|^{-5/2}\left(1 + |z|^{-3/2}\right) < 2f^{2-4a}$ for $0 < f < 1$ and thus for small f we cannot have $D_f(z) = 0$ in $\mathcal{R}_2'$. ∎

3.2.2 Proof of Lemma 3.3

We approximate D_f in three sectors of $\mathcal{R}_0$. In particular, by

$$D^{(E)} := \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left\{h_0(z) + 2e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right\} + fD^{(1)} \tag{3.9}$$

in the sector $\mathcal{R}_0^E = \{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : |\arg z| < 2\pi/3 - \epsilon\}$. Here the first order term is

$$D^{(1)} = \frac{-i}{2z^{3/2}\eta^2}e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[c_1\frac{3}{z}g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) + \kappa\eta\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right] \tag{3.10}$$

where $c_1 = \frac{\Gamma(3+1/2)}{54\Gamma(1+1/2)} = \frac{5}{72}$, although its precise value will not concern us. By $D^{(N)}(z) = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}h_0(z)$ in the sector $\mathcal{R}_0^N = \{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : \epsilon < \arg z < 4\pi/3 - \epsilon\}$, and finally

$$D^{(S)}(z) := \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left\{\tilde{h}_0(z) + 2e^{i\frac{4}{3f}z^{3/2}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right\} + fD^{(1)} \tag{3.11}$$

in the sector $\mathcal{R}_0^S = \{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : -4\pi/3 + \epsilon < \arg z < -\epsilon\}$. Here $\tilde{h}_0(z) = \left(2\eta\sqrt{z} - i\right)^2 + e^{-i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}$ where $\sqrt{z} = |z|^{1/2}e^{i\theta/2}$ and where the range of θ is given in the definition of $\mathcal{R}_0^S$.

Finally, we introduce functions which act as error terms in $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_1(z) = \frac{f^2}{|z|^2}\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|e^{2\kappa|\Im\sqrt{z}|}\left(1+|z|^{-2}\right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_2(z) = \frac{f^2}{|z|^{5/2}}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\left(1+\left|e^{i\kappa 4\sqrt{z}}\right|\right);\ \ \mathcal{E}_2'(z) = \frac{f^2}{|z|^{5/2}}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\left(1+\left|e^{-i\kappa 4\sqrt{z}}\right|\right).$$

Lemma 3.5 *Fix $\epsilon > 0$ then, for small $f > 0$ we have in $\mathcal{R}_0^E$,*

$$D_f = D^{(E)} + O(\mathcal{E}_1) + O(\mathcal{E}_2)$$

in $\mathcal{R}_0^N$ we have

$$D_f = D^{(N)} + O(\mathcal{E}_2)$$

and in $\mathcal{R}_0^S$ we have the approximation

$$D_f = D^{(S)} + O(\mathcal{E}_1) + O(\mathcal{E}_2')$$

Remark Notice in $\mathcal{R}_0^E$ and $\mathcal{R}_0^S$ there are terms $\widetilde{h}_0 \neq h_0$ in the overlapping set $\{z \in \mathcal{R}_0 : -2\pi/3 + \epsilon < \arg z < \epsilon\}$. However, in this set these terms are small compared to the error term $\mathcal{E}_1$. The h_0 term becomes the leading term when $\epsilon < \arg z$ in $\mathcal{R}^E$ and $\widetilde{h}_0$ becomes the leading term in $\mathcal{R}^S$ when $\arg z < -2\pi/3 - \epsilon$.

Remark Lemma 3.5 follows from asymptotic expansions of the Airy function as demonstrated in Appendix D.2.3.

We now show Lemma 3.3 follows from Lemma 3.5 (and Lemma 3.4). The method is simply to show all terms are small compared to the leading terms in the given regions.

Proof of Lemma 3.3 We break the proof into roughly the following sectors, $-\pi/3 < \theta < 0; -2\pi/3 < \theta < -\pi/3; -\pi < \theta < -2\pi/3; 0 < \theta < \pi/2$ and $\pi/2 < \theta < \pi$.

1. First consider the region

$$\left\{z : |z| > f^a; -Mf\log(|z| + f^{-1})|z|^{-3/2} > \arg z \geq -\pi/3\right\} \tag{3.12}$$

where according to Lemma 3.5, D_f is approximated by $D^{(E)}$ given in (3.9). In turn F_1 is simply a term in $D^{(E)}$ which we will show dominates the other terms. We first compare F_1 to the other non-error terms. Consider,

$$\left|\frac{h_0/(4z\eta^2)}{F_1}\right| < C\frac{|h_0|}{|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})|}\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|^{-1} \tag{3.13}$$

we will show this is bounded by $C(r + f^{-1})^{-(M-3/2)}$ for small f in the region given by (3.12). Let $z = re^{i\theta}$, of course

$$|h_0| < \left(2[4\eta^2|z| + 1] + |e^{i4\kappa z^{1/2}}|\right) < C\left(r + e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}|\theta|}\right)$$

for C depending on η, and

$$\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|^{-1} = e^{-\frac{4}{3f}r^{3/2}\sin|3\theta/2|+\frac{f\kappa^2}{2r^{1/2}}\sin|\theta/2|} < e^{-\frac{1}{f}r^{3/2}|\theta|+\frac{f\kappa^2}{4r^{1/2}}|\theta|} < Ce^{-\frac{1}{f}r^{3/2}|\theta|}$$

where in the first inequality we use $\sin|\theta/2| < |\theta/2|$ and $\sin|3\theta/2| > 3|\theta|/4$. The term g is bounded below by Lemma 3.4, that is for some $c > 0$,

$$|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})| > \sqrt{4\eta^2 r + 1}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|) > c(1 + r)^{1/2}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|) \tag{3.14}$$

Thus we have for all $r > 0; -\pi/3 \leq \theta \leq 0$ the bound (3.13) becomes

$$\left|\frac{h_0/(4z\eta^2)}{F_1}\right| < C\frac{r + e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}|\theta|}}{(1 + r)^{1/2}}\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{f}r^{3/2}|\theta|}}{\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|)} \tag{3.15}$$

Now, we evaluate the smallness of the right hand side of (3.15) in the region described in (3.12), and this is done by breaking the region into cases $r^{1/2}|\theta| \geq 1$ and $r^{1/2}|\theta| \geq 1$. If $r^{1/2}|\theta| \geq 1$ the hyperbolic sine term is bounded below and we have $r^{3/2}|\theta| > r$ so that

$$\left|\frac{h_0/(4z\eta^2)}{F_1}\right| < C\frac{r + e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}|\theta|}}{(1 + r)^{1/2}}e^{-\frac{r}{f}} < C(1 + r)^{1/2}e^{-\frac{r}{f}+2\kappa r^{1/2}}$$

which is bounded by $e^{-r/(2f)}$ for small enough f. Now for $z = re^{i\theta}$ in the region $\{z : r^{1/2}\theta < 1; r^{3/2}|\theta| < Mf\log(f^{-1} + r)\}$ we have then that for some $c > 0$

$$\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|) > cr^{-1}Mf\log(r + f^{-1}) \tag{3.16}$$

so that (3.15) becomes

$$\left|\frac{h_0/(4z\eta^2)}{F_1}\right| < C(1 + r)^{1/2}\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{f}r^{3/2}|\theta|}}{f\log(r + f^{-1})} < C(1 + r)^{1/2}\frac{(r + f^{-1})^{-M}}{f\log(r + f^{-1})} < C(r + f^{-1})^{-(M-3/2)}$$

Now consider the first order term $fD^{(1)}$ in the same region.

The sine term of (3.10) is bounded as

$$|\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})| < 2e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)}$$

so for some finite C depending on η, κ,

$$\left|\frac{fD^{(1)}}{F_1}\right| < fC\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{|z|^{1/2}}\frac{e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})|}\right) \tag{3.17}$$

Recall that $|z| = r \geq f^a$ for $a < 1/2$, so the first term is of order $f^{1-3a/2}$. Since the power series of g_b around 0 starts out as $(1+b)w\ldots$ there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ so that for $0 < |w| < \epsilon_1$ and any $b > 0$, we have the lower bound

$$|g_b(w)| > |w|. \tag{3.18}$$

Thus for $|z| = r < \epsilon_1^2/4\kappa =: \epsilon_2$

$$\left|\frac{fD^{(1)}}{F_1}\right| < fC\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{3/2}} + \frac{e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{|z|^{3/2}}\right) < Cf|z|^{-3/2} < f^{1/4} \tag{3.19}$$

for sufficiently small f. Note that $|z|$ is small here so this is certainly of order $(\log(|z| + f^{-1}))^{-1}$.

Now we consider the second term on the right in (3.17) for $|z| \geq \epsilon_2$. First consider $r^{1/2}|\theta| > 1$. We apply (3.14) so that the second term on the right of (3.17) is bounded by

$$\frac{Cf}{r^{1/2}}\frac{e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{(1+r)^{1/2}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|)} \tag{3.20}$$

so the exponential and hyperbolic sine terms are balanced and r is bounded below so so that (3.20) is of order $f(1+|z|)^{-1}$. Finally consider $r^{1/2}|\theta| < 1$ and $r \geq \epsilon_2$ and apply (3.14) and (3.16) to (3.17) so that

$$\left|\frac{fD^{(1)}}{F_1}\right| < Cf(1+r)^{-3/2} + C\frac{1}{\log(r+f^{-1})}. \tag{3.21}$$

We now address the error terms. First consider $|\mathcal{E}_1/F_1|$,

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{F_1(z)}\right| < \frac{e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})|}\left(\frac{f^2}{r}\left(1+r^{-2}\right)\right) \tag{3.22}$$

First, for $|z| = r$ sufficiently small, ie, $f^a < r < \epsilon_2$, and using (3.18) we have

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{F_1(z)}\right| < Cf^{2-7a/2}.$$

Again, $a < 1/2$ and $|z|$ is small so this is of order $(\log(r+f^{-1}))^{-1}$.

Now, assume $r \geq \epsilon_2$. If $r^{1/2}|\theta| \geq 1$ apply (3.14) to (3.22) to get

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{F_1(z)}\right| < C\frac{e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{(1+r)^{1/2}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|)}\left(\frac{f^2}{r}\left(1+r^{-2}\right)\right)$$

then as r and $r^{1/2}|\theta|$ are bounded below and the hyperbolic sine terms and exponential terms are balanced for large $r^{1/2}|\theta|$ this is a term of order $(1+r)^{-7/2}f^2$. Finally, assume $r \geq \epsilon_2$ and consider $r^{1/2}|\theta| < 1$. We use (3.16) on (3.22) so that,

$$\left|\frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{F_1(z)}\right| < \frac{C}{M\log(r+f^{-1})}\left(\frac{f}{r}\left(1+r^{-3/2}\right)\right) < Cf\frac{1}{\log(r+f^{-1})}.$$

To finish, we consider $\mathcal{E}_2$,

$$|\mathcal{E}_2/F_1| < C\frac{f^2}{r^{3/2}}(1+r^{-3/2})\frac{e^{4\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})|}e^{-\frac{4}{3f}r^{3/2}\sin(3|\theta|/2)} \tag{3.23}$$

Now suppose $\epsilon_2 > |z| > f^a$ and use (3.18). We have the bound $|\mathcal{E}_2/F_1| < f^2|z|^{-7/2}$ Now we may suppose that $|z| > \epsilon_2$, and use Lemma 3.4 to obtain,

$$|\mathcal{E}_2/F_1| < C\frac{f^2}{r^{3/2}}\frac{e^{4\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{(1+r)^{1/2}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|)}e^{-\frac{1}{f}r^{3/2}|\theta|}. \tag{3.24}$$

Now $r > \epsilon_2$. First consider $r^{1/2}\theta \geq 1$. Then $r^{3/2}|\theta| > r$ so we have,

$$|\mathcal{E}_2/F_1| < C\frac{f^2}{r^{3/2}}e^{4\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}e^{-\frac{1}{f}r} < C\frac{f^2}{r^{3/2}}e^{-r/(2f)} = O(\log(r+f^{-1}))^{-1} \tag{3.25}$$

for sufficiently small f. Finally suppose $r^{1/2}|\theta| < 1$ and $r > \epsilon_2$, and apply the bound (3.16). Then (3.24) becomes

$$|\mathcal{E}_2/F_1| < C\frac{f}{r}\frac{(r+f^{-1})^{-M}}{M\log(r+f^{-1})} < C(r+f^{-1})^{-M}$$

2. The region

$$\{z : |z| > f^a; -2\pi/3 + |z|^{-3/2}Mf\log(|z|+f^{-1}) < \arg z < -\pi/3\}$$

is handled exactly as the first part with the exception that in this region we use the approximation (3.11) instead of (3.9).

Thus consider

$$\left|\frac{\tilde{h}_0(z)/(4\eta^2 z)}{F_1(z)}\right|$$

We simply have $\tilde{h}_0(z)$ is of order $1+|z|$ so that this term is bounded as

$$\left|\frac{\tilde{h}_0/(4z\eta^2)}{F_1}\right| < C\frac{(1+r)^{1/2}e^{-\frac{4}{3f}r^{3/2}\sin(3\psi/2)}}{\sinh(\kappa r^{1/2}|\theta|/2)} < C(r+1)^{1/2}r^{-1/2}e^{-r^{3/2}\psi/f} \tag{3.26}$$

where $\psi = 2\pi/3 - |\theta|$. The worst case scenario is when ψ is small where we have $e^{-r^{3/2}\psi/f} \leq (r+f^{-1})^{-M}$ so using $(r+1)^{1/2}r^{-1/2} \leq Cf^{-a/2}$ we obtain

$$\left|\frac{\tilde{h}_0/(4z\eta^2)}{F_1}\right| < C\left(r+f^{-1}\right)^{-(M-1/4)}.$$

For the first order term $D^{(1)}$, we have exactly the bound (3.17) once more. For $|z|$ small we once more have (3.19) since these estimates did not depend on the argument of z. Returning to (3.17), for $|z| > \epsilon_2$ the exponential terms are bounded, i.e. $\frac{e^{2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin|\theta/2|}}{|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})|} < C$, so the term in (3.17) is of order $f(1+|z|)^{-1/2} \leq (r+f^{-1})^{-1/2}$.

We now turn to the error terms. When r is large the exponential and hyperbolic sine terms are balanced in $|\mathcal{E}_1/F_1|$ and we obtain the bound $|\mathcal{E}_1/F_1| \leq Cf^2(1+r)^{-7/2}$. The worst scenario is when r is small where again we get the estimate $|\mathcal{E}_1/F_1| \leq Cf^{2-7a/2}$.

Finally consider the $\mathcal{E}_2'$ error term. We have in place of (3.23)

$$|\mathcal{E}_2'/F_1| < C\frac{f^2}{r^{3/2}}(1+r^{-3/2})\frac{e^{-\frac{4}{3f}r^{3/2}\sin(3|\theta|/2)}}{|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})|} \tag{3.27}$$

Again, for small $|z|$ we apply (3.18) and we have $|\mathcal{E}_2'/F_1|$ is of order $f^2r^{-7/2}$. On the other hand, where z is not small, $|g|$ is bounded below so we have $|\mathcal{E}_2'/F_1|$ is of order $f^2r^{-3/2}$.

3. Now consider

$$\{z : |z| > f^a : -\pi \le \arg z < -2\pi/3 - |z|^{-1}(1+|z|^{-1/2})Mf\log(|z|+f^{-1})\}$$

and we again use $D^{(S)}$ defined in (3.11). First note that aside from $\tilde{h}_0/(4z\eta^2)$ all other non-error terms as well as $\mathcal{E}_1$ are of order

$$\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i2\kappa\sqrt{z}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|\left(1+r^{-4}\right) < Ce^{-\frac{r^{3/2}}{f}|\theta+2\pi/3|+\kappa r^{1/2}|\theta|}\left(1+r^{-4}\right) \tag{3.28}$$

Then since $r^{3/2}|\theta+2\pi/3| \ge (1+r^{1/2})Mf\log(r+f^{-1})$ all these terms are of order $f^{-4a/2}(r+f^{-1})^{-M} < (r+f^{-1})^{-M+1}$ for small enough f.

Finally, for the remaining error term we have,

$$\mathcal{E}_2' = O\left(\frac{f^2}{|z|^{5/2}}(1+|z|^{-3/2})\right). \tag{3.29}$$

Using the bound $|z| > f^a$, we have this is of order f^{2-4a}. The approximation of D_f in terms of F_2 i.e. in terms of h_0 in this set follows from the observation that for the z under consideration, $\tilde{h}_0(z) = h_0(z)$. (See their definitions above.)

4. In the section $\{z : |z| > f^a; \pi/2 \le \arg z \le \pi\}$, we use $D^{(N)}$ where the error term is $\mathcal{E}_2$. The bound on this error given in Lemma 3.5, namely $\mathcal{E}_2 \le C|z|^{-5/2}(1+|z|^{-3/2})$ is exactly what is needed.

5. Finally, consider

$$\{z : |z| > f^a; |z|^{-3/2}Mf\log(r+f^{-1}) < \arg z \le \pi/2\}.$$

In this region, we again use approximation $D^{(E)}$. An approximation similar to (3.28) holds. First note that aside from $h_0/(4z\eta^2)$ all other non-error terms as well as $\mathcal{E}_1$ are of order

$$\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}-i2\kappa\sqrt{z}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|\left(1+r^{-4}\right) < Ce^{-\frac{3r^{3/2}}{5f}|\theta|+\kappa r^{1/2}|\theta|}\left(1+r^{-4}\right). \tag{3.30}$$

From this inequality we obtain, with $r^{3/2}\theta > Mf\log(r+f^{-1})$, a bound of order

$$e^{-\frac{3r^{3/2}}{5f}|\theta|(1-5\kappa fr^{-1}/3)}\left(1+r^{-4}\right) < C(r+f^{-1})^{-2M/5}f^{-4a} < \left(r+f^{-1}\right)^{-(\frac{2M}{5}-2)}$$

where on the left hand side we used $(1-5\kappa fr^{-1}/2) > 1-O(f^{1/2}) > 2/3$. With $M \ge 20$ this gives the bound stated in Lemma 3.3. Thus non-error terms other than $h_0/(4z\eta^2)$ are small, as well as $\mathcal{E}_1$. The necessary smallness of $\mathcal{E}_2$ again follows directly from its defintion.

4 Approximation of dynamics: Proofs of Theorems 1.3, 1.4

We first prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof First we show that for small $f > 0$

$$||((\pm i - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1} - (\pm i - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1})\phi|| \leq 4f^{3/8}||\phi|| + 2||1_{\{|x|>f^{-1/4}\}}\phi|| \tag{4.1}$$

for all $\eta > 0$.

Let $z = \pm i$ and consider the difference:

$$((z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1} - (z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1})\psi = f(z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1}(z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}x\psi + f(z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1}[x, (z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}]\psi,$$

then for the final term, find that

$$(z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1}[x, (z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}] = i(z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1}(z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}2p(z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}.$$

The final factor is bounded by a constant,

$$||p(z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}|| \leq C||p(1 + H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}|| = C||(1 + H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}p^2(1 + H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}||^{1/2} \leq C,$$

where we have used $\eta > 0$.

We thus have

$$||((z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1} - (z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1})\psi|| \leq 2Cf||\psi|| + f||x\psi||$$

If $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then we take $\psi = (1 + i\epsilon x)^{-1}\phi$ and obtain

$$||((z - H_{\kappa,\eta,f})^{-1} - (z - H_{\kappa,\eta,0})^{-1})\phi|| \leq 2Cf||\psi|| + f||x\psi|| + 2||\phi - \psi|| \tag{4.2}$$

$$\leq 2Cf||\phi|| + \frac{f}{\epsilon}||\phi|| + 2||\phi - \psi|| \tag{4.3}$$

We take $\epsilon = f^{5/8}$ so that

$$||\phi - \psi||^2 = \int |\epsilon x/(1 + i\epsilon x)\phi|^2 dx \leq f^{3/4} \int_{|x|<f^{-1/4}} |\phi|^2 dx + \int_{|x|>f^{-1/4}} |\phi|^2 dx \tag{4.4}$$

This gives (4.1).

Suppose $s \in [0, T]$. Then given $\phi \in L^2$ there is an $f_{s,\epsilon,\chi,\phi} > 0$ so that if $0 < f < f_{s,\epsilon,\chi,\phi}$

$$||\chi(H_{\kappa,\eta,f})e^{-isH_{\kappa,\eta,f}}\phi - \chi(H_{\kappa,\eta,0})e^{-isH_{\kappa,\eta}}\phi|| < \epsilon$$

for all $\eta > 0$. This comes from the strong resolvent convergence uniform in η reflected in (4.1). The lemma then follows from the (norm) continuity of $\chi(H)e^{-itH}$ in the variable t and the compactness of $[0, T]$. ∎

Now we prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof Let $\delta_\mu(x) = \frac{\mu}{\pi}(x^2 + \mu^2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}((x - i\mu)^{-1} - (x + i\mu)^{-1})$. We have by Stone's formula

$$\langle\phi, \chi(H_{\kappa,\eta})e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta}}\phi\rangle = \lim_{\mu\downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi(\lambda)e^{-it\lambda}\langle\phi, \delta_\mu(H_{\kappa,\eta} - \lambda)\phi\rangle d\lambda. \tag{4.5}$$

We estimate

$$|\lim_{\mu\downarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus[a,b]} \chi(\lambda)e^{-it\lambda}\langle\phi, \delta_\mu(H_{\kappa,\eta} - \lambda)\phi\rangle d\lambda| \leq \langle\phi, \tilde{\chi}(H_{\kappa,\eta})\phi\rangle. \tag{4.6}$$

where $0 \le \tilde{\chi} \le 1$ is a continuous function with support in $[a-\delta, a+\alpha] \cup [b-\alpha, b+\delta]$. Here α is chosen so that there are no eigenvalues of H_D in the latter intervals. By the monotone convergence theorem for forms ([16], p.372), if η is small enough the right side of (4.6)$\le \epsilon/2$ since $\tilde{\chi}(H_D)\phi = 0$.

In the remaining integral we deform the path picking up the residues $e^{-itz_j(\eta)}\mathrm{Res}\langle\phi, (H_{\kappa,\eta}-z)_c^{-1}\phi\rangle|_{z=z_j(\eta)}$ where $(H_{\kappa,\eta}-z)_c^{-1}$ is the meromorphic continuation of $(H_\eta - z)^{-1}$ from the upper to the lower half plane. (Note that the zeros of the determinant are simple.) We are left with the integral over the path $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ where $\gamma_1(t) = a - it; \gamma_2(t) = a - i + t(b-a); \gamma_3(t) = b - i + it$ and the t interval is $[0,1]$ in each case. Thus

$$\langle\phi, \chi(H_{\kappa,\eta})e^{-itH_{\kappa,\eta}}\phi\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} e^{-itz_j(\eta)}\langle\phi, (H_{\kappa,\eta}-z)_c^{-1}\phi\rangle|_{z=z_j(\eta)} + \int_\gamma e^{-itz}\langle\phi, (H_{\kappa,\eta}-z)_c^{-1} - (H_{\kappa,\eta}-z)^{-1}\phi\rangle dz + \mathcal{E}_1$$

where $|\mathcal{E}_1| \le \epsilon/2$.

Let $I = [-\kappa, \kappa]$. By the monotone convergence theorem for forms [16],$(z - H_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1}|_{L^2(I)}$ converges strongly to $(z - H_D)^{-1}$ for $\Im z \neq 0$. Consider $(H_{\kappa,\eta} - z)_c^{-1}$ in the lower half plane as $\eta \to 0$. The denominator $\eta^2\mathcal{W}D$ in the formula (3.1) is given by

$$\eta^2\mathcal{W}D = -i2\sqrt{z}\left(\eta - i\frac{1+e^{i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{2\sqrt{z}}\right)\left(\eta - i\frac{1-e^{i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{2\sqrt{z}}\right).$$

This converges uniformly on compact sets of the right half plane to

$$\frac{i}{2\sqrt{z}}(1 - e^{4i\kappa\sqrt{z}}).$$

The numerator of the continued Green's function is bounded uniformly on compacts of the right half plane for (x, y) in compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$. Thus if we avoid small disks around the n eigenvalues of H_D in $[a, b]$, $\langle\phi, (H_{\kappa,\eta} - z)_c^{-1}\phi\rangle$ is uniformly bounded on compacts of a neighborhood N of $\{z = x + iy : a \le x \le b\}$, in other words on compacts of $N \setminus K$ where K is the union of these small disks. Since we have convergence in the upper half plane, Vitali's convergence theorem ([17], Theorem 5.21) implies convergence in the lower half plane as well. Thus $\langle\phi, (H_{\kappa,\eta} - z)_c^{-1}\phi\rangle \to \langle\phi, (H_D - z)^{-1}\phi\rangle$ uniformly for z in N but away from the union of any arbitrarily small disks centered at the eigenvalues $E_1, \ldots, E_n, E_j \in (a, b)$. Since the residues $\mathrm{Res}\langle\phi, (H_{\kappa,\eta}-z)_c^{-1}\phi\rangle|_{z=z_j(\eta)}$ can be calculated by integrating around small circles centered at E_j, we see that they converge to $|\langle\phi, \phi_j\rangle|^2$ as $\eta \to 0$. We can find $\eta_\epsilon > 0$ so that the errors just discussed add up to at most $\epsilon/2$ if $0 < \eta < \eta_\epsilon$ which proves the theorem. ∎

5 The shape resonance perturbed by a distant Dirichlet boundary (1.7)

We consider (1.7) on $L^2(-\infty, l)$ with a Dirichlet boundary at $l > \kappa$. The solution of $(\tilde{H}_{\kappa,\eta,l} - z)g = 0$ which is in L^2 on the left for z in the upper half plane is $\psi_1(x) = e^{-ix\sqrt{z}}$ and the solution satisfying the boundary condition at l is $\phi_1(x) = \sin((x-l)\sqrt{z})$. The Wronskian $\psi_1'\phi_1 - \psi_1\phi_1'$ is $\mathcal{W}^{(0)} = \mathcal{W}^{(0)}(l) = -\sqrt{z}e^{-il\sqrt{z}}$. From (3.2) the function whose zeros give the resonances is

$$D^{(0)} = 1 + i\frac{1 - e^{i2l\sqrt{z}}\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z})}{\eta\sqrt{z}} - \frac{e^{i(\kappa+l)\sqrt{z}}\sin((\kappa-l)\sqrt{z})\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})}{\eta^2 z} \quad (5.1)$$

As we will see the shape resonances disappear as soon as the Dirichlet condition appears near infinity and an infinite number of other resonances appear just as for the Hamiltonian $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$. The latter can be seen for example from (5.3) below and the Weierstrass factorization theorem. In Proposition 5.1 below we find the possible locations for this infinite set of resonances.

Again we must first verify that all the poles of $G^{(\eta)}$ are exactly the zeros of $D^{(0)}$. It is easy to see that if $\phi(\kappa) \neq 0$ then the four functions $g_\pm(x)g_\pm(y)$ and $g_\mp(x)g_\pm(y)$ are linearly independent (for example take $-\kappa < x < y < \kappa$) and thus if $L_z(x,y) = 0$, $\beta_+ = 0, \eta\mathcal{W} + \alpha_+ = \eta\mathcal{W} + \alpha_- = 0$. This and $D^{(0)}(z) = 0$ imply $\eta\mathcal{W} = 0$, a contradiction. If $\phi(\kappa) = 0$ then $\alpha_+ = 0$, but $z \neq 0$, and $L_z(x,y) = 0$ imply $\eta\mathcal{W} + \alpha_+ = 0$, again a contradiction. The point $z = 0$ is a branch point of the resolvent so cannot be a pole. We can see that $L_z(x,y)/\sqrt{z}$ as well as $G_z^{(\infty)}(x,y)$ are entire functions of $\sqrt{z}$ so that the only singularities in this variable in the resolvent $G_z^\eta(x,y)$ come from the zeros of $D^{(0)}$. But $\eta^2 D^{(0)}(0) = \eta^2 + 2l\eta + 2\kappa(l-\kappa) > 0$ and thus $G_z^\eta(x,y)$ is analytic in $\sqrt{z}$ in a neighborhood of the origin. Thus the zeros of $D^{(0)}$ are exactly the resonances of (1.7). Moreover, $D^{(0)}$ is analytic in $\sqrt{z}$, so zeros of $D^{(0)}$ (resonances) may exist only in the set $\{|z| > 0; -3\pi < \arg z < 0\}$.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\eta, \kappa > 0$ and $c = 3\pi/4$. Then there exists $l_{\eta,\kappa} > \kappa$ so that if $l > l_{\eta,\kappa}$ then all zeros of $D^{(0)}$ with $-2\pi \leq \arg z < 2\pi$ are contained in the set*

$$\left\{z : 0 > \arg z > -cl^{-1}|z|^{-1/2}\log(l+|z|) \text{ or } -2\pi \leq \arg z < -2\pi + cl^{-1}|z|^{-1/2}\log(l+|z|)\right\} \tag{5.2}$$

It will be helpful to rewrite $D^{(0)}$ in an alternative form as a sum of two functions $D^{(0)} = D_1^{(0)} + D_2^{(0)}$ where

$$D_1^{(0)} = -i\frac{e^{i2l\sqrt{z}}}{2\eta^2 z} g_b(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) \text{ and } D_2^{(0)} = \frac{(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i)^2 + e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{4\eta^2 z} \tag{5.3}$$

for $g_b(\omega) = \sin\omega + b\omega\cos\omega$ where $b = \eta/\kappa$. See Appendix D.1 for more details. In the following lemma we show that in the two different regions we can approximate $D^{(0)}$ with $D_1^{(0)}$ and $D_2^{(0)}$ respectively. Proposition 5.1 will follow directly.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $\eta, \kappa > 0$ and $c = 3\pi/2$. Then there exists $l_{\eta,\kappa} > \kappa$ so that if $l > l_{\eta,\kappa}$ then in the set*

$$\left\{z = re^{i\theta} : -2\pi < \theta < 0, -2\pi + cl^{-1}r^{-1/2}\log(l+r) < \theta < -cl^{-1}r^{-1/2}\log(l+r)\right\} \tag{5.4}$$

we have

$$\left|\frac{D^{(0)}}{D_1^{(0)}} - 1\right| < C/\log(l+r) \tag{5.5}$$

while in the set

$$\left\{z = re^{i\theta} : -3\pi \leq \theta \leq -2\pi - cl^{-1}r^{-1/2}\log(l+r)\right\} \tag{5.6}$$

we have

$$\left|\frac{D^{(0)}}{D_2^{(0)}} - 1\right| < C/\log(l+r).$$

Here the constant $C = C_{\eta,\kappa}$ depends only on η and κ.

Proposition 5.1 follows immediately from Proposition 5.2. Indeed we have

$$|D^{(0)}| > |D_1^{(0)}|[1 - C/\log(l+r)]$$

in the first region and similarly in the second region. We need only show $D_1^{(0)}$ and $D_2^{(0)}$ do not vanish in the respective sets where they approximate $D^{(0)}$. But $D_1^{(0)}$ does not vanish in the lower

half plane of $\sqrt{z}$, indeed the exponential prefactor does not vanish, and as for g_b see Lemma 3.4 for a demonstration of a bound away from 0. Finally in the set defined in (5.6) we have $\Im z > 0$ thus $|(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i)^2| > (1+2\eta\Im\sqrt{z})^2$ and $|e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}| < 1$, therefore $|4\eta z D_2^{(0)}| \geq (1+2\eta\Im\sqrt{z})^2 - 1 > 0$. This concludes the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Now we prove Lemma 5.2.

Proof We note for $0 < \arg z < 2\pi$ the resolvent is analytic and therefore $D^{(0)}$ has no zeros in this sector.

We begin in the sector $-\pi \leq \arg z < 0$. Write $z = re^{i\theta}$ then we have,

$$\left|\frac{D_2^{(0)}}{D_1^{(0)}}\right| = \frac{\left|(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i)^2 + e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right|}{2\left|e^{i2l\sqrt{z}} g_b(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right|}.$$

Then we bound g below by Lemma 3.4, so that for some $C > 0$ depending on η and κ,

$$\left|\frac{(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i)^2}{D_1^{(0)}}\right| < C\frac{r+1}{e^{2lr^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)}(r+1)^{1/2}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2))}.$$

If $|\theta| \leq \pi$ we have $\sin(|\theta|/2) \geq |\theta|/\pi$ and thus $3(4l)^{-1}\log(l+r) < \sin(|\theta|/2)r^{1/2}$ and we have

$$\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)) > 2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2) > 3\kappa(2l)^{-1}\log(l+r)$$

and $e^{2lr^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)} \geq (l+r)^{3/2}$ and thus

$$\left|\frac{(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i)^2}{D_1^{(0)}}\right| < C\frac{(r+1)^{1/2}}{(l+r)^{3/2}(l^{-1}\log(l+r))} \leq \frac{C}{\log(l+r)}.$$

Now on the other hand, for C depending only on η and κ,

$$\left|\frac{e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{D_1^{(0)}}\right| < C\frac{e^{4\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)}}{(1+r)^{1/2}e^{2lr^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)}\sinh(2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2))} \leq C\frac{e^{-2(l-2\kappa)r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)}}{(1+r)^{1/2}2\kappa r^{1/2}\sin(|\theta|/2)}.$$

Thus

$$\left|\frac{e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{D_1^{(0)}}\right| \leq C\frac{e^{-(3/2)(1-2\kappa/l)\log(l+r)}}{\kappa l^{-1}\log(l+r)} \leq C(l+r)^{-(1-6\kappa/l)/2}/\log(l+r) \leq C/\log(l+r) \tag{5.7}$$

for large l.

Therefore we have $|D_2^{(0)}/D_1^{(0)}| < C/\log(l+r)$ for large enough l which implies (5.5). A similar argument works for $\pi \leq |\theta| \leq 2\pi$. ∎

6 Counting of resonances, proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we will investigate the number of zeros of $D_f(z)$. We have already established that the zeros are restricted to neighborhoods of the origin or of the rays $\arg z = 0$ and $\arg z = -2\pi/3$, however, we now find estimates on the number of zeros, in particular near the real axis. For λ an analytic function on $\mathbb{C}$, let us define $n_\lambda(r)$ to be the number of zeros (counted with multiplicity) of λ with modulus less than r, and let $M_\lambda(r)$ be defined as $\sup\{|\lambda(z)| : |z| < r\}$.

We first note that one can conclude there are an infinite number of zeros of D_f in the plane for fixed $f > 0$. This follows simply by referring to the Hadamard factorization theorem to find

$$D_f = z^m e^{az+b} \prod_i \left(1 - \frac{z}{z_i}\right) e^{z/z_i}.$$

Since $\log M_{D_f}(r) \sim \frac{4}{3f} r^{3/2}$ (see Lemma 3.3). and the representation above contains only e^z terms, there must be sufficiently many zeros to obtain the growth of the stated order and type. (See Korotyaev [14] for an analysis of the asymptotics of the number of resonances in $\{z : |z| < R\}$ as $R \to \infty$ of a similar model for a fixed f.) Here we are more interested in obtaining asymptotics for the number of resonances in bounded regions as $f \to 0$. We will show that these grow in proportion to $1/f$. (cf. Theorem 1.2).

In particular, the approach to zero counting we use is estimating integrals which count the number of zeros. One could in principle count zeros by integrating $\frac{D_f'}{D_f}$ over contours, however this would involve new difficulties of finding useful approximations of this new function. Instead we bypass this and use the well known integrals of Jensen and Carleman for estimating the number of zeros. The advantage here is that we will only need the approximations of D_f which are stated in Lemma 3.3.

We apply these theorems to the normalized functions $B_f(z) = \frac{D_f(z)}{D_f(0)}$ and $\widetilde{B}_f(z) = B_f(\omega^{-1}z)$, for $\omega = e^{i2\pi/3}$, to count the zeros in the right half plane and the 'southwest' half plane respectively (by definition the southwest half plane is the half plane centered at $\theta = -2\pi/3$). Let $R_l < R_u$ and let $T_{R_l,R_u} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : R_l \leq |z| < R_u\}$. We estimate the number of zeros in T_{R_l,R_u} in the right and southwest half planes. We may allow R_l and R_u to scale with f with the restrictions that

$$R_u/2 > R_l > f^{1/100}.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f]$ be the number of zeros of B_f in T_{R_l,R_u} with positive real part.

Theorem 6.1 *Given $\epsilon > 0$, there is some $f_{\eta,\kappa,\epsilon} > 0$ so that for $f_{\eta,\kappa,\epsilon} > f > 0$*

$$\frac{1+\epsilon}{2\pi f}[\frac{5}{3}R_u^{3/2} - R_l^{3/2}] > \mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f] > \frac{1-\epsilon}{2\pi f}[R_u^{3/2} - \frac{5}{3}R_l^{3/2}]. \tag{6.1}$$

Theorem 1.2 follows immediately from the above theorem.

Remark From the usual $2\pi/3$ rotational symmetry of the Laplacian plus electric field, one expects the number of zeros localized about the ray $\theta = -2\pi/3$ to grow at the same rate as the zeros about the ray $\theta = 0$. Indeed, our estimates are symmetric about these two rays so a similar growth of the number of zeros holds in the left half plane.

6.1 Zero counting theorems of Carleman and Jensen and proof of Theorem 6.1

As stated above, Theorem 6.1 follows from two classical theorems relating certain integrals of a function to sums of over zeros of that function. We state these theorems here and the evaluation of these integrals for our function B_f. We then prove Theorem 6.1 from the combination of these results.

First we state Jensen's theorem, which will allow us to obtain upper bounds of the number of zeros in bounded regions.

Theorem 6.2 (Jensen) *Let λ be a function analytic in $\mathbb{D}_R$, and suppose $\lambda(0) = 1$, and $\{z_1, \ldots, z_k\}$ are the zeros of λ in $\mathbb{D}_R$ counted with multiplicity, then*

$$\int_0^R \frac{n_\lambda(t)}{t} dt = \sum_{i=1}^k \log \frac{R}{|z_i|} = I_J[\lambda; R] := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |\lambda(Re^{it})| dt.$$

It follows, if λ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{vR}$ for $v > 1$, that

$$I_J[\lambda; vr] - I_J[\lambda; r] = \int_r^{vr} \frac{n_\lambda(t)}{t} dt = \int_r^{vr} \frac{n_\lambda(t) - n_\lambda(r)}{t} dt + n_\lambda(r) \log v \geq n_\lambda(r) \log v$$

So that we have the upper bound,

$$n_\lambda(r) \leq \frac{I_J[\lambda; vr] - I_J[\lambda; r]}{\log v}. \tag{6.2}$$

Now we introduce Carleman's theorem which we will use to obtain lower bounds of the number of zeros in bounded regions in the half planes. Let us introduce the notation

$$A_{R_L, R_\rho} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : R_\rho < |z| < R_L; \Re z > 0\}.$$

and for given entire function λ let $\{z_1, ..., z_k\}$ be the enumeration with multiplicity of the zeros of λ in A_{R_L, R_ρ}.

Theorem 6.3 (Carleman) *Let $\lambda(0) = 1$ and suppose $\lambda(z)$ is not zero for $|z| = R_\rho$. Then we have,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{1}{|z_i|} - \frac{|z_i|}{R_L^2} \right) \cos(\arg z_i) \quad = \quad I_C[\lambda; R_\rho, R_L] := I_1[\lambda] + I_2[\lambda], \tag{6.3}$$

where the I_1, I_2 are given by

$$I_1[\lambda] = \int_{R_\rho}^{R_L} \log(|\lambda(is)| \cdot |\lambda(-is)|) \left(\frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{1}{R_L^2} \right) \frac{ds}{2\pi}$$

and,

$$I_2[\lambda] = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left[\log \frac{|\lambda(R_L e^{i\theta})|^2}{|\lambda(R_\rho e^{i\theta})|^{(\alpha + \alpha^{-1})}} \cos\theta + [\arg \lambda(R_\rho e^{i\theta})] \left(\alpha - \alpha^{-1} \right) \sin\theta \right] \frac{d\theta}{R_L 2\pi}$$

with $\alpha = R_L / R_\rho$.

Let a, b be chosen so that $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{100} < a < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{3} < b < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{100}$. Notice we then have

$$1/18 < 3a/2 - b < 1/12. \tag{6.4}$$

Let $R_L > 2f^a$ and suppose $C > 0$ is given and R_ρ is chosen so that $C^{-1} f^b < R_\rho < C f^b$. The Carleman integrals for B_f and $\widetilde{B}_f$ are as follows:

Proposition 6.4 *For small enough f there is an r in $((1-f)R_L, R_L)$ so that for $B = B_f, \tilde{B}_f$*

$$I_C[B; R_\rho, r] = \frac{8(3 + \sqrt{2})}{15\pi} \frac{r^{1/2}}{f} + O(f^{-17/18} + \log(1 + r) \log f^{-1}).$$

The Jensen integral for B_f is as follows,

Proposition 6.5 *Let $a < 1/2$ and $2f^a < R_L$. Then there is an r in $((1-f)R_L, R_L)$ so that*

$$I_J[B_f; r] = \frac{8}{9\pi} \frac{r^{3/2}}{f} + O((1 + r^{1/2}) \log^2(f^{-1} + r)). \tag{6.5}$$

Propositions 6.5 and 6.4 are demonstrated in Section 6.2. In particular Proposition 6.5 is proved in Section 6.2.2 and Proposition 6.4 is proved in Section 6.2.3 as a combination of Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11. For the remainder of this section we combine Propositions 6.5 and 6.4 with Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 to prove Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1

Let $R > 4f^a$ and let $s \in (1/2, 1)$ be a constant (independent of f) to be fixed later. For $|\nu| = 1$ let $N(\nu, r)$ be the number of zeros in the set $\{z : |z| < r; |\arg z - \arg \nu| < \pi/2\}$.

For a given lower radius $R_0 = O(f^b)$ and R as above we will evaluate the difference of the Carleman integrals with lower bound R_0 and upper bounds near sR and R. That is, let R_1 be chosen as the 'r' in Proposition 6.4 so that $(1-f)sR < R_1 < sR$ and R_2 so that $(1-f)R < R_2 < R$. We assume f has been chosen small enough so that $R_2 > R_1$. Label the zeros in the set $\{z : |z| < R_1; |\arg z| < \pi/2\}$ as (r_i, θ_i), and label the zeros in the set $\{z : R_1 \le |z| < R_2; |\arg z| < \pi/2\}$ as (r'_i, θ'_i). Note that from Theorem 1.1, for small enough f there are no resonances with $|z| \le R_0$.

From (6.3) evaluated at R_0 and R_1, R_2

$$I_C[B_f; R_0, R_2] - I_C[B_f; R_0, R_1] = \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right) r_i \cos\theta_i + \sum_j \left(\frac{1}{r'_j} - \frac{r'_j}{R_2^2}\right) \cos\theta'_j. \tag{6.6}$$

We estimate from above, noting that $r^{-1} - rR^{-2}$ is decreasing in r for $0 < r < R$.

$$I_C[B_f; R_0, R_2] - I_C[B_f; R_0, R_1] \le N(1, R_1) R_1 \left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right) + (N(1, R_2) - N(1, R_1)) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{R_1}{R_2^2}\right) \tag{6.7}$$

The right hand side of (6.7) is simply $(N(1, R_2)R_1)\left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)$. Now we apply the calculation of Proposition 6.4 to obtain,

$$\frac{8(3+\sqrt{2})}{f 15\pi}\left(R_2^{1/2} - R_1^{1/2}\right) + O(f^{-17/18}) \le (N(1, R_2)R_1)\left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)$$

We may rewrite this as,

$$N(1, R_2) \ge \frac{8(3+\sqrt{2})}{f 15\pi}\left(R_2^{1/2} - R_1^{1/2}\right) R_1^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)^{-1} + R_1^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)^{-1} O(f^{-17/18}). \tag{6.8}$$

For the first term, we have

$$\left(R_2^{1/2} - R_1^{1/2}\right) R_1^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{R_1 R_2^2}{(R_2^{1/2} + R_1^{1/2})(R_2 + R_1)} \ge \frac{s}{4}((1-f)R)^{3/2} \tag{6.9}$$

and

$$R_1^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R_1^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)^{-1} O(f^{-17/18}) = R\, O(f^{-17/18})$$

Thus (6.8) becomes,

$$N(1, R) \ge N(1, R_2) \ge \frac{((1-f)R)^{3/2}}{f}\left[\frac{s}{2\pi}\right] + O\left(Rf^{-17/18}\right) \tag{6.10}$$

The error can be larger than the main term if $R \sim f^a$ as is allowed. Here we remind the reader of the assumption of the theorem which says $R_l > f^{1/100}$ which guarantees that the error term above is smaller than the main term. A similar argument gives a similar lower bound for $N(\omega^{-1}, R_2)$:

$$N(\omega^{-1}, R) \ge \frac{((1-f)R)^{3/2}}{f}\left[\frac{s}{2\pi}\right] + O\left(Rf^{-17/18}\right). \tag{6.11}$$

Now we develop upper bounds on $n_{B_f}(\cdot)$ using Jensen's theorem. Let $v > 1$ be a constant to be fixed later. Given $R' > 2(1-f)f^a$ first set $R_L = R'(1-f)^{-1}$ and then find $R_1' \in ((1-f)R_L, R_L) = (R', (1-f)^{-1}R')$ so that we can use Proposition 6.5 with $r = R_1'$. Similarly we choose $R_L = vR'(1-f)^{-1}$ and find $R_2' \in ((1-f)R_L, R_L) = (vR', ((1-f)^{-1}vR')$ and again use Proposition 6.5 with $r = R_2'$. We then apply the inequality (6.2) to find

$$n_{B_f}(R_1') \le \frac{I_J[B_f; R_2'] - I_J[B_f; R_1']}{\log(R_2'/R_1')}.$$

Thus we have a bound

$$n_{B_f}(R') \le n_{B_f}(R_1') \le \frac{8}{9\pi}\frac{R'^{3/2}}{f}\frac{v^{3/2}(1-f)^{-3/2}-1}{\log[v(1-f)]} + O(f^{-1/4} + R'\log^2(f^{-1}+R')).$$

It is not hard to see the $(1-f)$ factors amount to a shift of order $R'^{3/2}$ so that

$$n_{B_f}(R') \le \frac{8}{9\pi}\frac{R'^{3/2}}{f}\frac{v^{3/2}-1}{\log v} + O(R'^{3/2} + f^{-1/4} + R'\log^2(f^{-1}+R')). \tag{6.12}$$

In particular, for $R' = 2f^a$, with $a = .4$, we have $n_{B_f}(R') = O(f^{-2/5})$. The remaining zeros are outside this disc, ie in the set $\mathcal{R}_0$ (which follows by using another a slightly larger than .4). But by Proposition 3.2 there are no zeros in $\mathcal{R}_1$ or $\mathcal{R}_2$, so $N(-1, R)$ and $N(\omega^{-1}, R)$ only differ by the zeros with absolute value less than f^a. Therefore, (6.11) is a lower bound on the number of zeros in the left half plane, i.e. for $R > 4f^a$

$$N(-1, R) \ge \frac{((1-f)R)^{3/2}}{f}\left[\frac{s}{2\pi}\right] + O(Rf^{-17/18}) \tag{6.13}$$

Of course for any $R > 0, N(-1,R) + N(1,R) = n_{B_f}(R) + O(f^{-2/5})$ (the $O(f^{-2/5})$ term comes from adding in the possible zeros on the negative imaginary axis). Thus, combining (6.12) with $R' = R$ and (6.13) we have

$$N(1, R) \le \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{R^{3/2}}{f}\left[\frac{8(v^{3/2}-1)}{9\log v} - \frac{s}{2}\right] + O(R^{3/2} + Rf^{-17/18} + R\log^2(f^{-1}+R)). \tag{6.14}$$

Moreover, we have $\mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f] = N(1, R_u) - N(1, R_l)$, combining (6.10) with $R = R_u$ and (6.14) with $R = R_l$ it follows that

$$\mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f] \ge \frac{s}{2\pi f}[R_u^{3/2} + R_l^{3/2} - (16/9s)(\frac{v^{3/2}-1}{\log v})R_l^{3/2}] + O(R_u f^{-17/18} + R_u^{3/2} + R_l\log^2(f^{-1}+R_l))$$

.

Setting $s = 1$ and using $\lim \frac{v^{3/2}-1}{\log v} = 3/2$ the first term becomes $\frac{1}{2\pi f}[R_u^{3/2} - \frac{5}{3}R_l^{3/2}]$. Since we are not allowed to do this we step back a bit to obtain for $R_u > 2R_l$ and small enough f

$$\mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f] \ge \frac{1-\epsilon}{2\pi f}[R_u^{3/2} - \frac{5}{3}R_l^{3/2}].$$

Here dropping the error term is permitted since $R_u > f^{1/100}$.

On the other hand, for (6.14) with $R = R_u$ and (6.10) with $R = R_l$ and again setting $s = 1$ and $(v^{3/2}-1)/\log v = 3/2$ for the first term in the upper bound, we find an upper bound of $\mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f]$ at $\frac{1}{\pi f}(\frac{5}{6}R_u^{3/2} - \frac{1}{2}R_l^{3/2})$. Thus stepping back and again dropping the error term we obtain

$$\mathcal{N}_{R_l,R_u}[B_f] \le \frac{1+\epsilon}{2\pi f}[\frac{5}{3}R_u^{3/2} - R_l^{3/2}]. \ \blacksquare$$

6.2 Calculations of Jensen's and Carleman's integrals for D_f

The first order of business for both I_J and I_C is to find a radius $r > 0$ so that the function B_f does not vanish on the circle of radius r.

Lemma 6.6 *Suppose $R > f^a$, $c > 1$, and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists $f_{R,c} > 0$ such that for $f \in (0, f_{R,c})$ there is an r with $(1-\delta)R < r < R$ so that for all z with $|z| = r$, we have $|B_f(z)| \geq (e^{-7}\delta)^{c\frac{4(2eR)^{3/2}}{3f}}$.*

This follows from Cartan's lemma, which formulates the principle that "an analytic function cannot vanish faster than it grows". We prove Lemma 6.6 from the statement of Cartan's lemma. Further discussion of Cartan's lemma is left to Appendix C.1.

For a function λ which is analytic in $\{|z| < R\}$ let $M_\lambda(R) = \sup\{|\lambda(z)| : |z| < R\}$.

Theorem 6.7 (Cartan) *Let λ be a function analytic in the disk $\{z : |z| < 2eR\}$ with $\lambda(0) = 1$. Thus $|\lambda(z)| \leq M_\lambda := M_\lambda(2eR)$ for $\{z : |z| < 2eR\}$. Given $\delta > 0$ there is a collection of disks (C_j) with sum of radii*

$$\sum r_j < e^6 R\delta^{\frac{1}{\log M_\lambda}}$$

so that $\{z : |z| < R,\ |\lambda(z)| < \delta\} \subset \cup_j C_j$

To use this lemma we note:

Lemma 6.8 *For any $c > 1$ and sufficiently small $f > 0$ we have for $r > f^a$,*

$$M_{D_f}(r) \leq \frac{1}{2r\eta^2} e^{c\frac{4}{3f}r^{3/2}}.$$

This lemma follows immediately from the approximations in Lemma 3.5. We also need some control on D_f in the vicinity of the origin.

Lemma 6.9 *For any $\epsilon > 0$ the following approximation holds in $|z| < f^{2/3+\epsilon}$:*

$$D_f(z) = f^{-1/3}[c_1 + c_2 z f^{-2/3} + c_3 f^{1/3} + O\left((|z|f^{-2/3} + f^{1/3})^2\right)]$$

where c_i depends on η and κ and all $|c_j| > 0$.

This approximation is calculated in Section D.2.1.

Remark It follows immediately that $B_f(z) = \frac{D_f(z)}{D_f(0)}$ has an expansion $B_f(z) = 1 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} z f^{-2/3} + O((|z|f^{-2/3} + f^{1/3})^2)$ when $|z| < f^{2/3+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

We now prove Lemma 6.6.

Proof From Lemmas 6.9 and 6.8, we have, for $R \geq f^a$, for any $c > 1$ and small f

$$\log M_{B_f}(2eR) < c\frac{4}{3f}(2eR)^{3/2}.$$

Consider the circles $C_r = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : |z| = r\}$. We determine how many of these may pass through a set where B_f is small. It follows from Theorem 6.7 that $S_R = \left\{ z : |z| < R; |B_f(z)| < e^{-kc\frac{4(2eR)^{3/2}}{3f}} \right\}$ is contained in a collection of disks with total sum of radii $e^{6-k}R$. Thus, for $k > 0$

$$|\{0 < r < R : C_r \cap S_R \neq \emptyset\}| < 2e^{6-k}R$$

Given δ (small) choose $k > 0$ so that $\delta = e^{7-k} (> 2e^{6-k})$. Then there is some r with $(1-\delta)R < r < R$ so that $C_r \cap S_R = \emptyset$. ∎

6.2.1 Approximation of $\log B_f$

As discussed above, D_f and therefore $B_f(z) = \frac{D_f(z)}{D_f(0)}$ are entire. We find approximations of B_f in the approximate sectors $0 < \theta < 4\pi/3$ and $-2\pi/3 < \theta < 0$. This will cover (almost) the entire plane.

Now in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ we approximate D_f from Lemma 3.3 and find $D_f(0) = c_3 + c_1 f^{-1/3} + O(f^{1/3})$ from Lemma 6.9. Thus

$$B_f(z) = (D_f(0))^{-1}\left[\left(i(2\eta\sqrt{z})^{-1}+1\right)^2 + (4\eta^2 z)^{-1}e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right] + f^{1/3}O(f^{2(1-2a)}).$$

Therefore, for small $f > 0$, in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$

$$\log|B_f(z)| = O(|\log f|). \tag{6.15}$$

On the other hand, in $\mathcal{R}_1$, from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 6.9 we have for small enough f that

$$\left|\frac{F_1}{D_f(0)}\right|\left(1 - C\frac{1}{\log(|z|+f^{-1})}\right) < |B_f(z)| < \left|\frac{F_1}{D_f(0)}\right|\left(1 + C\frac{1}{\log(|z|+f^{-1})}\right).$$

Now we approximate $\log|F_1|$. We have from Lemma 3.4 and the definition of $\mathcal{R}_1$ that

$$\log|g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})| = O(|z|^{1/2} + \log f^{-1})$$

in $\mathcal{R}_1$. Thus, we have in $\mathcal{R}_1$

$$\log|F_1| = -\frac{4|z|^{3/2}}{3f}\sin\left[\frac{3}{2}\arg z\right] + O(|z|^{1/2} + \log|z|^{-1} + \log f^{-1})$$

and the bound $|z| > f^a$ implies for $z \in \mathcal{R}_1$ and small f

$$\log|B_f(z)| = -\frac{4|z|^{3/2}}{3f}\sin\left[\frac{3}{2}\arg z\right] + O(|z|^{1/2} + |\log f|). \tag{6.16}$$

6.2.2 Proof of Proposition 6.5

Here we estimate the Jensen integral I_J of B_f. Recall that a satisfies $a < \frac{1}{2}$ and we have $R_L > 2f^a$.

Proof We will use approximations F_1 and F_2 in sectors covered by $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$ respectively. In the remaining sets we use Cartan's lemma to control where B_f may vanish. First, apply Lemma 6.6 to B_f with $R = R_L$. Then there exists $R_L(1-f) < r < R_L$ so that,

$$\log|B_f(z)| > c\frac{4(2eR_L)^{3/2}}{3f}\log(f/e^7) \tag{6.17}$$

for all z so that $|z| = r$. We now apply approximations for $\log|B_f|$ found above to the circle $\{z : |z| = r\}$.

Let $\epsilon' = r^{-3/2}fM\log(f^{-1}+r)$, and $\epsilon'' = r^{-1}(1+r^{-1/2})fM\log(f^{-1}+r)$. For $\epsilon' < \theta < 4\pi/3 - \epsilon''$ we have, $z = re^{i\theta}$ is in $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ thus, by (6.15) we have

$$\int_{\epsilon'}^{4\pi/3-\epsilon''} \log|B_f(re^{i\theta})|\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} = O(|\log f|).$$

For $-\frac{2\pi}{3} + \epsilon' < \theta < -\epsilon'$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ is in $\mathcal{R}_1$. Thus using (6.16)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-2\pi/3+\epsilon'}^{-\epsilon'} \log|B(re^{i\theta})|\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} &= \int_{-2\pi/3+\epsilon'}^{-\epsilon'} -\frac{4}{3}\frac{r^{3/2}}{f}\sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\theta\right)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(|\log f| + r^{1/2}) \\ &= \int_{-2\pi/3}^{0} -\frac{4}{3}\frac{r^{3/2}}{f}\sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\theta\right)\frac{d\theta}{2\pi} + O(|\log f| + r^{1/2})\end{aligned}$$

The last integral is exactly $\frac{8}{9\pi}r^{3/2}f^{-1}$. Here extending the integral from $[-2\pi/3+\epsilon',-\epsilon']$ to $[-2\pi/3,0]$ gives an error of order $[\epsilon']^2 f^{-1}r^{3/2}$ which is of order $fr^{-3/2}\log^2(r+f^{-1})$. But $r^{-3/2}<f^{-3a/2}$, thus this amounts to an error of order $f^{1/4}\log^2(f^{-1})$ which is negligible.

Finally, applying the bound (6.17) over the remaining intervals $-\epsilon'<\theta<\epsilon'$ and $-2\pi/3-\epsilon''<\theta<-2\pi/3+\epsilon'$, we obtain an additional error term of order $(\epsilon''+\epsilon')r^{3/2}f^{-1}|\log f|$ which is of order $(1+r^{1/2})\log^2(f^{-1}+r)$. ■

6.2.3 Proof of Proposition 6.4

We prove the proposition in two parts. That is, in the next lemma we calculate I_1, and in the lemma following we calculate I_2. We include the calculations of both B_f and $\widetilde{B}_f$ in these lemmas. We recall that a,b satisfy $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{100}<a<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{3}<b<\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{100}$ so that (6.4) holds and we have $R_L>2f^a$ and R_ρ so that $C^{-1}f^b<R_\rho<Cf^b$.

Lemma 6.10 *For any $R_L>r>R_L(1-f)$ and $B=B_f,\widetilde{B}_f$ we have, for small enough f*

$$I_1[B]=\int_{R_\rho}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\log(|B(is)B(-is)|)\frac{ds}{2\pi}=\frac{16\sqrt{2}}{15\pi f}r^{1/2}+O(f^{-17/18})$$

Proof The proofs for both functions $B_f,\widetilde{B}_f$ are similar. (This follows from the symmetry of the approximations for B_f and $\widetilde{B}_f$.) We can therefore carry out the proof with $B=B_f$ and conclude a similar result for $\widetilde{B}_f$.

The integral I_1 will be split into 2 parts. For $\{|z|>f^a\}$ we may use approximations of $\log|B_f|$ from (6.15) and (6.16). For $\{|z|<f^a\}$ these approximations do not apply. Instead we use bounds on the vanishing of the function B_f to control the integral.

From Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9 we have, for any $c>1$ and small f and $R\geq f^a$, the upper bound,

$$\log|M_{B_f}(2eR)|\leq c\frac{4}{3f}[2eR]^{3/2}=:\overline{M} \tag{6.18}$$

Now we apply Cartan to B_f for $R=f^a$ let $A_k=\{|s|<f^a:|B_f(is)|<f^{k\overline{M}}\}$ we have, $|A_k|<e^7f^{a+k}$. Use Hölder's inequality to bound the integral

$$\int_{R_\rho}^{f^a}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\log|B_f(is)B_f(-is)|ds.$$

We will use exponents $p=1.01$ and $\frac{p}{p-1}=101$ for Hölder's inequality. For the first factor in the integrand, for small enough f, for any $p>1$, (recall $C^{-1}f^b<R_\rho<Cf^b$)

$$|\int_{R_l}^{f^a}|\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}|^p ds|^{1/p}\leq|\int_{R_\rho}^{f^a}\frac{1}{s^{2p}}ds|^{1/p}<C'f^{-b(2-p^{-1})}. \tag{6.19}$$

For the second integral, we partition the interval inside and outside the following set $E=\{s:f^b<|s|<f^a;|B(is)|<1\}=\cup_{k=0}^{\infty}A_k\setminus A_{k+1}$. Thus we have

$$\left|\int_{R_\rho}^{f^a}\mathbf{1}_E(s)\log^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|B(is)B_f(-is)|ds\right|<\sum_{k\geq 0}|\log^{p/(p-1)}f^{2(k+1)\overline{M}}||A_k|$$

It follows, using $a>49/100$ and $p=1.01$, for small enough f that,

$$\left|\int_{R_l}^{f^a} \mathbf{1}_E(s)\log^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|B(is)B(-is)|ds\right|^{(p-1)/p} < [f^a e^7\left|2\overline{M}\log f\right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}f^k]^{(p-1)/p}$$
$$\leq f^{-1+3a/2+a(p-1)/p}\log f^{-1} \tag{6.20}$$

Finally, consider the integral from f^b to f^a on the set E^c. We use (6.18) to find $\log|B(is)B(-is)| = O(f^{3a/2-1})$ for $s\in[0,f^a]\backslash E$, then for small enough f

$$|\int_{R_l}^{f^a}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}\backslash E}(s)\log^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|B(is)B(-is)|ds|^{(p-1)/p} < Cf^{-1+3a/2+a(p-1)/p} \tag{6.21}$$

and (6.20) dominates (6.21). Now combining (6.19) - (6.21) we have

$$\int_{R_l}^{f^a}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\log|B(is)B(-is)|ds = O(f^{-17/18}). \tag{6.22}$$

The remaining part of the integral, along f^a to r is calculated from the approximations (6.15) and (6.16), thus we have

$$\int_{f^a}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\log|B(is)B(-is)|ds = \int_{f^a}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}s^{3/2}}{3f}+O(s^{1/2}+|\log f|)\right)ds.$$

Integrating we obtain,

$$\int_{f^a}^{r}\left(\frac{1}{s^2}-\frac{1}{r^2}\right)\log|B(is)B(-is)|ds = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3f}(2s^{1/2}-\tfrac{2}{5}r^{-2}s^{5/2}\Big|_{f^a}^{r}+O\left(\mathcal{E}_3\right)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_3 = \left|s^{-1/2}|_{f^a}^{r}\right| + \left|r^{-2}s^{3/2}|_{f^a}^{r}\right| + |\log f|\left(\left|s^{-1}|_{f^a}^{r}\right| + r^{-2}\left|s|_{f^a}^{r}\right|\right)$$

as $1/2 > a$ for small enough f we have $O\left(\mathcal{E}_3\right) = O(f^{-1/2})$. On the other hand,

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3f}(2s^{1/2}-\tfrac{2}{5}r^{-2}s^{5/2}\Big|_{f^a}^{r} = \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{15f}r^{1/2}+O(f^{\frac{1}{2}a-1})$$

Since $a > \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{100}$, $f^{\frac{1}{2}a-1} = O(f^{-17/18})$, so combining this equation with (6.22) completes the lemma. ∎

We calculate $I_2[B]$ for upper radius $R_L > 2f^a$ and lower radius R_ρ.

Lemma 6.11 *Let $B = B_f$, or $\widetilde{B}_f$. For small enough f, there is an r so that $R_L(1-f) < r < R_L$ and*

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\left[\log\frac{|B(re^{i\theta})|^2}{|B(R_\rho e^{i\theta})|^{(\alpha+\alpha^{-1})}}\cos\theta + [\arg B(R_\rho e^{i\theta})]\left(\alpha-\alpha^{-1}\right)\sin\theta\right]\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi} = \ +O(f^{-17/18}+\log(1+r)\log f^{-1}) \tag{6.23}$$

where $\alpha = r/R_\rho$.

Proof We break the integral into parts covered by (6.15) and (6.16), first we use Theorem 6.6 to find a suitable radius. Thus, there exists $R_L(1-f) < r < R_L$ so that,

$$\log|B_f(z)| > c\frac{4(2eR_L)^{3/2}}{3f}\log(f/e^7) \tag{6.24}$$

for all z so that $|z| = r$.

Using (6.16) we have, for $\epsilon' = Mr^{-3/2}f\log(f^{-1}+r)$

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{-\epsilon'}\log|B_f(re^{i\theta})|^2\cos\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{-\epsilon'} -2\left[\frac{4}{3}\frac{r^{3/2}}{f}\sin\left(\frac{3}{2}\theta\right)\right]\cos\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi} + O(r^{-3/2}+r^{-1}|\log f|) \tag{6.25}$$

We will make the replacement $2\sin(3\theta/2)\cos(\theta) = \sin(5\theta/2)+\sin(\theta/2)$ and extend the integral to $-\pi/2 < \theta < 0$. The extension of the interval creates a new error term, namely the integral over the set $-\epsilon' < \theta < 0$, which is of order $[\epsilon']^2 r^{1/2} f^{-1} = O(f^{-17/18})$ and thus

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{-\epsilon'}\log|B_f(re^{i\theta})|^2\cos\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi} = \frac{8(3-\sqrt{2})}{15\pi}\frac{r^{1/2}}{f} + O(f^{-17/18}). \tag{6.26}$$

This gives the main contribution to the result for $B = B_f$. The remaining part of the proof for $B = B_f$ is to show the remaining terms are small.

The integral in the upper interval $\epsilon' < \theta < \pi/2$ is seen to be of order $|\log f|$ directly from (6.15).

For the remaining part we have, by (6.24),

$$\left|\int_{-\epsilon'}^{\epsilon'}\log|B_f(re^{i\theta})|^2\cos\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi}\right| < 4c\epsilon'\frac{4(2eR_L)^{3/2}}{6\pi rf}|\log(f/e^7)| = r^{-1}O(\log^2(f^{-1}+r)) \tag{6.27}$$

which of course is of order $f^{-17/18}$.

The radius of the inner circle R_ρ is of order f^b. Thus we have, by Lemma 6.9,

$$B_f(R_\rho e^{i\theta}) = 1 + O(f^{b-2/3}), \tag{6.28}$$

so that $\left|\log|B(R_\rho e^{i\theta})|\right| = O(f^{b-2/3})$. Moreover, $\alpha^{-1}+\alpha = O(rf^{-b})$, therefore,

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\log|B_f(R_\rho e^{i\theta})|^{(\alpha^{-1}+\alpha)}\cos\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi} = O(f^{-2/3}). \tag{6.29}$$

Finally, we again use (6.28) to see the argument of B_f along the inner arc is of order $f^{b-2/3}$

$$\left|\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\left(\alpha-\alpha^{-1}\right)\arg B_f(R_\rho e^{i\theta})\sin\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi}\right| = O(f^{-2/3}). \tag{6.30}$$

This completes the proof for $B = B_f$.

For the southwest half plane, ie integrating $\widetilde{B}_f(z) = B_f(\omega^{-1}z)$, the strategy is entirely the same, albeit with the change that we use (6.16) in $\epsilon' < \arg(\omega^{-1}z) < \pi/2$ and (6.15) in $-\pi/2 < \arg(\omega^{-1}z) < -\epsilon''$ where $\epsilon'' = r^{-1/2}(1+r^{-1})fM\log(f^{-1}+r)$.

First we integrate $\widetilde{B}_f$ over ϵ' to $\pi/2$ and as before we extend the interval to 0 to $\pi/2$ which creates an error of order $f^{-17/18}$. We thus obtain an equation similar to (6.26)

$$\int_{\epsilon'}^{\pi/2}\log|\widetilde{B}_f(re^{i\theta})|^2\cos\theta\frac{d\theta}{r2\pi} = \frac{8(3-\sqrt{2})}{15\pi}\frac{r^{1/2}}{f} + O(f^{-17/18}). \tag{6.31}$$

From (6.15) we see the integral over $-\pi/2$ to $-\epsilon''$ creates a term of order $|\log f|$. Finally, in this integral the gap between $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_2$ is of width $(\epsilon'+\epsilon'')$ thus (6.27) becomes

$$\left|\int_{-\epsilon''}^{\epsilon'} \log|\widetilde{B}_f(re^{i\theta})|^2 \cos\theta \frac{d\theta}{r2\pi}\right| < (\epsilon'+\epsilon'')2c\frac{4(2eR_L)^{3/2}}{6\pi r f}|\log(f/e^7)| = O\left((1+r^{-1})\log(f^{-1}+r)\log f^{-1}\right). \tag{6.32}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.11. ∎

We complete the section by proving Proposition 6.4 from Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11. The proof is similar for cases $B = B_f$ and $B = \widetilde{B}_f$. For small f, let r satisfying $(1-f)R_L < r < R_L$ be chosen according to Lemma 6.11, then combine the integral with the result in Lemma 6.10. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_C(B; R_\rho, r) &= \frac{16\sqrt{2}}{15\pi}\frac{r^{1/2}}{f} + \frac{8(3-\sqrt{2})}{15\pi}\frac{r^{1/2}}{f} + O(f^{-17/18} + \log(1+r)\log f^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{r^{1/2}}{f}\frac{8}{15\pi}(3+\sqrt{2}) + O(f^{-17/18} + \log(1+r)\log f^{-1}). \ ∎ \end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

A Green's functions under perturbation by delta functions

We review relations for the Green's functions of the Hamiltonian $\mathfrak{h}_0 = p^2 + V$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ perturbed by two delta functions. Let $\mathfrak{h}_1$ be a Hamiltonian on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, so that

$$\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_0 + \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left(\delta_\kappa + \delta_{-\kappa}\right).$$

We treat $\mathfrak{h}_1$ as a perturbation of a better understood operator $\mathfrak{h}_0$, although the $\eta > 0$ parameter is taken to be small.

Let $G^{(i)}(x,y)$ be the integral kernel of the resolvent $(\mathfrak{h}_i - z)^{-1}$. We suppose $\Im z > 0$. Let ψ be the left solution (the solution which is square integrable near $-\infty$), and ϕ the right solution, of $(\mathfrak{h}_0 - z)\zeta = 0$. Then for $x < y$

$$G_z^{(0)}(x,y) = \mathcal{W}^{-1}\psi(x)\phi(y)$$

where $\mathcal{W} = \phi\psi' - \phi'\psi$. Let $\Delta = \eta^{-1}(\delta_{-\kappa} + \delta_\kappa)$. From the resolvent equation

$$(\mathfrak{h}_0 - z)^{-1} - (\mathfrak{h}_i - z)^{-1} = (\mathfrak{h}_0 - z)^{-1}\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_i - z)^{-1}$$

which implies for the Green's functions

$$G_z^{(0)}(x,y) = G_z^{(1)}(x,y) + \tfrac{1}{\eta}\left[G_z^{(0)}(x,\kappa)G_z^{(1)}(\kappa,y) + G_z^{(0)}(x,-\kappa)G_z^{(1)}(-\kappa,y)\right]. \tag{A.1}$$

Substituting $x = \pm\kappa$ into (A.1) we have the matrix equation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,y) \\ G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,y) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+\frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,\kappa) & \frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,-\kappa) \\ \frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,\kappa) & 1+\frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,-\kappa) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_z^{(1)}(\kappa,y) \\ G_z^{(1)}(-\kappa,y) \end{pmatrix}$$

Inverting this we have,

$$\begin{pmatrix} G_z^{(1)}(\kappa,y) \\ G_z^{(1)}(-\kappa,y) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{D(z)} \begin{pmatrix} 1+\frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,-\kappa) & -\frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,-\kappa) \\ -\frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,\kappa) & 1+\frac{1}{\eta}G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,\kappa) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,y) \\ G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,y) \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.2}$$

where, the determinant is

$$D(z) = 1 + \frac{G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,\kappa) + G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,-\kappa)}{\eta} + \frac{G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,\kappa)G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,-\kappa) - G_z^{(0)}(-\kappa,\kappa)G_z^{(0)}(\kappa,-\kappa)}{\eta^2}.$$

Now substituting (A.2) into (A.1) we have a formula for the Green's function $G_z^{(1)}(x,y)$.

Let $\alpha_\pm = \psi(\pm\kappa)\phi(\pm\kappa)$ and $\beta_\pm = \psi(\mp\kappa)\phi(\pm\kappa)$; notice $\alpha_+\alpha_- = \beta_+\beta_-$. With this notation, the determinant becomes,

$$D(z) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\eta\mathcal{W}} + \frac{\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+)}{\eta^2\mathcal{W}^2} \tag{A.3}$$

Let $g_\pm(x) = G_z^{(0)}(\pm\kappa, x)$. Combining the above we have the formula

$$G_z^{(1)}(x,y) = G_z^{(0)}(x,y) - \frac{1}{\eta^2\mathcal{W}D}\begin{pmatrix} g_+(x) & g_-(x)\end{pmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}\eta\mathcal{W}+\alpha_- & -\beta_+ \\ -\beta_+ & \eta\mathcal{W}+\alpha_+\end{bmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} g_+(y) \\ g_-(y)\end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.4}$$

B Analysis of relevant functions

Here we analyze the auxiliary functions arising from the shape resonance and the shape resonance under the perturbation of an electric field.

B.1 The functions $F_\pm(s,t;w) = 1 + i\frac{1\pm e^{itw}}{sw}$

For $s < t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we consider the zeros of

$$F_\pm(s,t;w) = 1 + i\frac{1 \pm e^{itw}}{sw}.$$

We first locate the real parts of the zeros within the intervals $[n\pi, (n+1)\pi]$, this allows us an identification of the zeros of $F_\pm$ to $0 = 1 \pm e^{itw}$ at $s \to 0$. Let $I_n^\pm = \pm[n\pi + (0,\pi)]$ for $n \geq 0$, we remark that the $\pm$ symbol in the I term is unrelated to that in the F term. Let $\mathbb{I}_n^\pm$ be the subset of $z \in \mathbb{C}$ so that the real part of z is in $I_n^\pm$. For $n \geq 0$, we will associate the function $w_{2n}^\pm(s,t)$ to the function F_+ and the interval $I_{2n}^\pm$; respectively, associate $w_{2n+1}^\pm(s,t)$ to the function F_- and the interval $I_{2n+1}^\pm$. These functions $(w_n^\pm)$ have the following property.

Proposition B.1 *Suppose $0 < s,t$ then for all $n \geq 0$, there are functions $w_{2n}^\pm : (s,t) \to t^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{2n}^\pm$ and $w_{2n+1}^\pm : (s,t) \to t^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{2n+1}^\pm$ so that $0 = F_+(s,t;w_{2n}^\pm(s,t)) = F_-(s,t;w_{2n+1}^\pm(s,t))$. Moreover, these are all the zeros in the plane.*

Proof First consider the behavior at the origin. $F_+(s,t;w)$ has a pole of order 1 at $w = 0$ and $F_-(s,t;0) = 1 + t/s$ at $w = 0$, as $s,t > 0$ there is no solution at the origin.

We simplify matters by multiplying by itw, which adds a zero at the origin for F_- and removes the pole for F_+. Let $q = {}^t/_s$ and set $\zeta = q(iws - 1)$ we have $F_\pm = 0$ is equivalent to

$$\zeta e^{-\zeta} = \pm e^q. \tag{B.1}$$

Let $\zeta = x + iy$. The imaginary part of the equation obtains x in terms of y, $x = y\cot y$. On the other hand the real part of (B.1) is $e^{-x}(x\cos y + y\sin y) = \pm e^q$ and replacing $x = y\cot y$ we find, $y = \pm e^q(\sin y)e^{y\cot y}$. In the last expression we multiplied by $e^{y\cot y}$, notice the replacement $x \to y\cot y$ creates nonremoveable singularities at $y = \pi n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First we will focus on $|y| > \pi$. We determine the image of $I_n^\pm$, for $n \geq 1$, under $h(y) = (\sin y)e^{y\cot y}$. Find the derivative, $h'(y) = -\frac{y - \sin 2y}{\sin y}e^{y\cot y}$. For $|y| > \pi$ we clearly have $|y| > |\sin 2y|$ so $h(y)$ is

monotonic on $I_n^{\pm}$ for $n = 1, 2, ..$ From the asymptotic form of the components of h it is clear that $h([n\pi - 0]) = 0$, and $h([n\pi + 0]) = (-1)^n\infty$.

From these behaviors we have that for any $q > 0$, $y = e^q h(y)$ has a unique solution on $I_{2n}^{\pm}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $y = -qe^q h(y)$ has a unique solution on $I_{2n+1}^{\pm}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Of course, $y = e^q h(y)$ has no solution on $I_{2n+1}^{\pm}$ for any $n \geq 0$ and $y = -e^q h(y)$ has no solution on $I_{2n}^{\pm}$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Now we consider I_0^+, restate equation $y = \pm e^q (\sin y) e^{y \cot y}$ as $h_{(i)} = \frac{y}{\sin y} e^{-\frac{y}{\sin y}\cos y} = e^q$, the $-$ branch is neglected as it clearly it has no solution on I_0^+ or I_0^-. Notice $h_{(i)}(0) = e^{-1}$, and $h_{(i)}(\pi - 0) = \infty$. But $h'_{(i)} = [\sin^2 y - y \sin 2y + y^2](\sin^{-3} y) e^{-y \cot y}$, and writing $h_{(ii)}(y) = \sin^2 y - y \sin 2y + y^2$ we note $h'_{(ii)}(y) = 2y(1 - \cos 2y) > 0$ for $y \in (0, \pi)$ so $h_{(ii)}(y) > 0$ for $y \in (0, \pi)$, therefore $h'_{(i)} > 0$ for $y \in (0, \pi)$ which shows there is only one solution in I_0^+. By the even-ness of $h_{(i)}$, we see that there is exactly one reflected solution in $y \in (-\pi, 0)$.

Thus zeros are $\zeta = x + iy$ where y is found above and x is found as a function of y. To complete the proof, return to the orinal coordinates, i.e. $\Re w = y/t$, and $\Im w = -(x + q)/t$. ∎

Proposition B.2 *For fixed $t > 0$ given $n = 0, 1, 2..$ and small enough $0 < s < s_n$ the approximation of $w_n(s, t)^{\pm}$ to second order is,*

$$w_n^{\pm}(s, t) = w_n^{\pm} \left[1 - \frac{s}{t} + \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^2 - i\frac{s^2}{2t} w_n^{\pm} \right] + O_n(s^3)$$

where, for $n \geq 0$, $w_n^{\pm} = \pm(1 + n)\pi/t$.

Proof From Proposition B.1 the zeros of $F_{\pm}$ are in one to one correspondence to the zeros of $1 \pm e^{itw}$ for small s, less the zero at $w = 0$. Analyticity of $w_{\nu n}^{\pm}(s)$ is clear from the requirement to solve $0 = F_+(s, t; w_{2n}^{\pm}(s, t)) = F_-(s, t; w_{2n+1}^{\pm}(s, t))$. We can therefore consider each zero $w_n^{\pm}$ as an analytic function in a neighborhood of $s = 0$. Let us define $\widetilde{F}_{\pm} = -iswF_{\pm} = -isw + 1 \pm e^{itw}$ and set $\widetilde{F}_{\pm} = 0$, (this inserts a root at zero for F_- and removes a singularity for F_+, we may ignore the behavior at zero) then at $s = 0$ we have the above solutions $w_n^{\pm} = \pm(1 + n)\pi/t$. Find the first 2 derivatives, which must be identically 0,

$$\tilde{F}'_{\pm} = -isw' - iw \pm (e^{itw}) iw' t = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{F}''_{\pm} = -isw'' - i2w' \mp (e^{itw})(w't)^2 \pm (e^{itw}) iw''t = 0.$$

For both equations we use the solution $1 \pm e^{itw} = 0$, for $w = w_n^{\pm}$ at $s = 0$. So that the first two derivatives of w are $(w_n^{\pm})' = -t^{-1} w_n^{\pm}$ and $(w_n^{\pm})'' = 2t^{-2}(w_n^{\pm}) - it^{-1}(w_n^{\pm})^2$. ∎

Independent of the previous estimates, we now find bounds for the zeros set of $F(s, t, w)$ for fixed s and t, and w in the right half plane. In the following, let $w = u + iv$.

Proposition B.3 *If $t > s$ all zeros of $F_{\pm}$ for $u > 0$ are contained in the set*

$$\{w : u > 0; -(2t)^{-1} \log(1 + 2[su]^2) < v < -(4t)^{-1} \log(1 + [su]^2)\}$$

Proof Consider $iswF_{\pm} = isw - 1 \mp e^{itw}$. Let $w = u + iv$. Then $|isw - 1|^2 = (su)^2 + (1 + sv)^2$. On the other hand $|e^{itw}|^2 = e^{-2tv}$.

Clearly there are no zeros for $v > 0$ since for all $\{w : v > 0\}$,

$$|isw - 1|^2 = (su)^2 + (1 + sv)^2 > 1 \geq e^{-2tv} = |e^{itw}|^2.$$

Next consider $\{w : u > 0; v \le -u\}$. Let us fix $u = u_0$ and let $|v| = r$. Consider the functions, for $v < 0$,

$$\mathfrak{z}_1(r) = |e^{itw}|^2 = e^{2tr} \text{ and } \mathfrak{z}_2(r) = |isw - 1|^2 = (su_0)^2 + (1 - sr)^2.$$

We also define $\mathfrak{z}_3(r) = (sr)^2 + (1 - sr)^2$. Notice, for $r > u_0$, $\mathfrak{z}_3(r) > \mathfrak{z}_2(r)$. Next observe that $\mathfrak{z}_1(r) > \mathfrak{z}_3(r)$. This follows by noting that $\mathfrak{z}_1(0) = \mathfrak{z}_3(0) = 1$ and $\mathfrak{z}_1'(r) = 2te^{2tr} > 4s^2r - 2s = \mathfrak{z}_3'(r)$. Thus it remains only to consider the set $\{w : u > 0; 0 \ge v > u\}$.

Now we establish the lower bound of the set. Again fix $u = u_0 > 0$, and consider r so that $(2t)^{-1}\log(1 + 2[su_0]^2) < r < u_0$, then

$$\mathfrak{z}_1(r) = e^{2tr} > 1 + 2[su_0]^2$$

but

$$\mathfrak{z}_2(r) = [su_0]^2 + (1 - sr)^2 = [su_0]^2 + [sr]^2 - 2sr + 1 < 2[su_0]^2 + 1 - 2sr$$

thus we clearly have $\mathfrak{z}_1(r) > \mathfrak{z}_2(r)$ in this set and therefore there are no zeros of $F_\pm$ in the set $\{w : u > 0; -(2t)^{-1}\log(1 + 2[su]^2) > v > -u\}$.

Finally we demonstrate the upper bound of the set. Fix $u = u_0 > 0$ and consider r so that $0 \le r < (4t)^{-1}\log(1 + [su_0]^2)$. For such r we have

$$\mathfrak{z}_1(r) < \left(1 + [su_0]^2\right)^{1/2} < 1 + [su_0]^2/2.$$

On the other hand, in this set $2sr < 2tr < \frac{1}{2}\log(1 + [su_0]^2) < [su_0]^2/2$ and

$$\mathfrak{z}_2(r) > [su_0]^2 + 1 - 2sr.$$

Thus $\mathfrak{z}_2 > \mathfrak{z}_1$ in this region. ∎

For the final claim of Proposition 3.1, it is trivial to check that $F_\pm(s,t,w) = 0$ implies $F_\pm(s,t,-\overline{w}) = 0$. Therefore, the zeros in the left half plane are exactly the zeros in the right half plane reflected across the imaginary axis. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1

B.2 The function $g_b(w) = \sin w + bw\cos(w)$

We fix $b > 0$ and show g_b has the following growth away from the real axis:

Lemma B.4 *For $|w| > 0$ and $-\pi < \arg w < \pi$, let $w = Re^{i\theta} = u + iv$. Then*

$$[bR + 1]\cosh|v| > |g_b(w)| > \sqrt{(bR)^2 + 1}\sinh|v|.$$

Proof 1. Let $w = Re^{i\theta}$ then

$$g_b(w) = \tfrac{bRe^{i\theta}+i}{2}e^{-iRe^{i\theta}} + \tfrac{bRe^{i\theta}-i}{2}e^{iRe^{i\theta}} = e^{iRe^{i\theta}}\frac{bRe^{i\theta} - i}{2}\left(1 + \frac{bRe^{i\theta} + i}{bRe^{i\theta} - i}e^{-i2Re^{i\theta}}\right).$$

For all $Rb > 0$ and $-\pi < \theta < 0$ we have, $|bRe^{i\theta} + i| < \sqrt{(bR)^2 + 1} < |bRe^{i\theta} - i|$ so

$$1 + e^{2R\sin\theta} > \left|1 + \frac{bRe^{i\theta} + i}{bRe^{i\theta} - i}e^{-i2Re^{i\theta}}\right| > 1 - e^{2R\sin\theta}.$$

Thus for all $-\pi < \theta < 0$ we have,

$$\tfrac{1}{2}[1 + e^{2R\sin\theta}][bR + 1]e^{-R\sin\theta} > |g_b(w)| > \tfrac{\sqrt{(bR)^2+1}}{2}e^{-R\sin\theta}(1 - e^{2R\sin\theta}).$$

2. For $\pi > \theta > 0$ we use $|g_b(\bar{w})| = |g_b(w)|$ and the result for $-\pi < \theta < 0$.

C Analytic functions

We discuss here the variant of Carleman's Theorem that is used in Section 6.1.

Theorem C.1 *Suppose λ is an entire function with $\lambda(0) = 1$ and $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : R_1 < |z| < R_2; \Re z > 0\}$. Let $\{z_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j} : j = 1, ...\}$ be the zeros of λ in S. Suppose $\lambda(z)$ is non-zero for $|z| = R_1$. Then we have, for $\alpha = \frac{R_2}{R_1}$*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_n \left(\frac{1}{r_n} - \frac{r_n}{R_2^2}\right)\cos\theta_n &= \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \log(|\lambda(is)| \cdot |\lambda(-is)|)\left(\frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)\frac{ds}{2\pi} \\ &+ \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\left[\log\frac{|\lambda(R_2e^{i\theta})|^2}{|\lambda(R_1e^{i\theta})|^{(\alpha+\alpha^{-1})}}\cos\theta + [\arg\lambda(R_1e^{i\theta})]\left(\alpha - \alpha^{-1}\right)\sin\theta\right]\frac{d\theta}{R_2 2\pi}\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

Remark Note that the zeros on the boundary of S can be included in the statement of the theorem with the exception of those zeros z with $|z| = R_1$ because the left side of (C.1) does not change if these zeros are included.

Proof The key ingredient of the theorem is the integral

$$I_\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\gamma \log\lambda(z)\left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)dz$$

for a positively oriented simple closed curve γ with certain properties. We assume that λ is non-zero on γ, that $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1)$ is nonzero and pure imaginary. We assume that with the exception of the points $t = 0, 1$, $\gamma(t) \in S$. We also assume that all of the zeros of λ in S are inside γ.

Integrating by parts one obtains,

$$I_\gamma = \frac{1}{R_2 2\pi i}\left(\log\lambda(\frac{z}{R_2} - \frac{R_2}{z})\right)_{z=\gamma(0)}^{z=\gamma(1)} - \frac{1}{R_2 2\pi i}\int_\gamma \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}\left(\frac{z}{R_2} - \frac{R_2}{z}\right)dz.$$

The first term is purely imaginary and the real part of the second term is, in terms of the zeros $z_n = r_n e^{i\theta_n}$ of λ,

$$\Re I_\gamma = \Re\frac{1}{R_2}\sum_n \frac{R_2}{z_n} - \frac{z_n}{R_2} = \frac{1}{R_2}\sum_n\left(\frac{R_2}{r_n} - \frac{r_n}{R_2}\right)\cos\theta_n,$$

which is valid for the above described curves γ. Thus

$$\sum_n\left(\frac{1}{r_n} - \frac{r_n}{R_2^2}\right)\cos\theta_n = \Re\int_\gamma \log\lambda(z)\left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)\frac{dz}{2\pi i}.$$

It is easy to see that we can let γ approach the boundary of S so that $\Re I_\gamma$ is continuous. The left side of the above equality does not change in this limit. The (real part of the) integral over the imaginary axis becomes,

$$I_1 = \int_{R_1}^{R_2}\log(|\lambda(is)| \cdot |\lambda(-is)|)\left(\frac{1}{s^2} - \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)\frac{ds}{2\pi}$$

The real part of the integral over semicircles $\gamma_r = (re^{i\theta} : -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2})$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\Re I_{\gamma_r} &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\Re\left[\log\lambda(z)\left(\frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{1}{R_2^2}\right)\frac{z}{2\pi}\right]d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2}\left[\log|\lambda(re^{i\theta})|\left(\frac{R_2}{r} + \frac{r}{R_2}\right)\cos\theta + \arg\lambda(re^{i\theta})\left(\frac{R_2}{r} - \frac{r}{R_2}\right)\sin\theta\right]\frac{d\theta}{R_2 2\pi}\end{aligned}$$

Of course the second term on the right vanishes for $r = R_2$ so the only term involving the argument is the integral along the arc at radius $r = R_1$. It is important to note that it is independent of which (continuous) branch of $\arg z$ we choose. Thus the real part of the integral over the curved edges of the horseshoe is

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \Re(I_{\gamma_{R_2}} - I_{\gamma_{R_1}}) \\ &= \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \left[\log \frac{|\lambda(R_2 e^{i\theta})|^2}{|\lambda(R_1 e^{i\theta})|^{(\alpha+\alpha^{-1})}} \cos\theta + [\arg \lambda(R_1 e^{i\theta})] \left(\alpha - \alpha^{-1}\right) \sin\theta \right] \frac{d\theta}{R_2 2\pi}. \end{aligned}$$ ∎

C.1 Cartan estimate

We recall here the Cartan estimate.

Theorem C.2 *(Cartan) Let λ be a function analytic in the disk $\{z : |z| < 2eR\}$ with $|\lambda(0)| = 1$. Suppose $a > 0$. Then there is a collection of disks (C_j) with sum of radii $\sum r_j < aR$, so that in the set $\{z : |z| < R\} \setminus (\cup_j C_j)$ the estimate*

$$\log |\lambda(z)| > -H_a \log M_\lambda(2eR)$$

holds with $H_a = \log \frac{15e^3}{a}$.

From this we can derive the (essentially) equivalent statement:

Theorem C.3 *Let λ be a function analytic in the disk $\{z : |z| < 2eR\}$ with $\lambda(0) = 1$ and with $|\lambda(z)| < M_\lambda$.*

Given $\delta > 0$ there is a collection of disks (C_j) with sum of radii

$$\sum r_j < e^6 R \delta^{\frac{1}{\log M_\lambda}}$$

so that $\{z : |z| < R; |\lambda(z)| < \delta\} \subset \cup_j C_j$

D Details of resonances and associated functions

D.1 The associated function for resonances of (1.7)

Consider $\phi \in L^2(-\infty, l)$ so that $\tilde{H}_{\kappa,\eta,l}\phi = z\phi$ for z in the upper half plane. The solution to the left, which is square integrable at $-\infty$, of (1.7) is $\psi_1(x) = e^{-ix\sqrt{z}}$ and the solution at the right, which satisfies a Dirichlet condition at l is $\phi_1(x) = \sin((x-a)\sqrt{z})$. The Wronskian becomes

$$\mathcal{W}^{(0)} = \phi\psi' - \phi'\psi = -\sqrt{z}e^{-il\sqrt{z}}$$

Then from the definitions of $\alpha_\pm$ and $\beta_\pm$ in Appendix A, we have

$$\alpha_\pm = -e^{\mp i\kappa\sqrt{z}} \sin((a \mp \kappa)\sqrt{z}) \text{ and } \beta_\pm = -e^{\pm i\kappa\sqrt{z}} \sin((l \mp \kappa)\sqrt{z}).$$

So we have

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ = -e^{-li\sqrt{z}} \sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})$$

and thus,

$$\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+) = e^{i(\kappa-l)\sqrt{z}} \sin((l-\kappa)\sqrt{z}) \sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z}).$$

On the other hand,

$$\alpha_+ + \alpha_- = i\left(e^{il\sqrt{z}} \cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) - e^{-il\sqrt{z}}\right).$$

We therefore have the function $D^{(0)} = D$ as defined in (A.3)

$$D^{(0)} = 1 + i\frac{1 - e^{i2l\sqrt{z}}\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z})}{\eta\sqrt{z}} - \frac{e^{i(\kappa+l)\sqrt{z}}\sin((\kappa-l)\sqrt{z})\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})}{\eta^2 z} \tag{D.1}$$

which can be expanded as

$$= 1 + \frac{i}{\eta\sqrt{z}} - \frac{i}{\eta\sqrt{z}}e^{i2l\sqrt{z}}\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) - \frac{1}{4\eta^2 z}\left(e^{i2(l+\kappa)\sqrt{z}} + 1 - e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}} - e^{i2(l-\kappa)\sqrt{z}}\right).$$

Alternately we may rewrite $D^{(0)}$ in the form:

$$D^{(0)} \;=\; \frac{(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i)^2 + e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}}{4\eta^2 z} - i\frac{e^{i2l\sqrt{z}}}{2\eta^2 z}g(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) \tag{D.2}$$

for $g_b(w) = \sin w + bw\cos w$ where $b = \eta/\kappa$.

D.2 The associated function for resonances of (1.3)

In this appendix we discuss the determinant $D(z)$ for the Stark Hamiltonian $H_{\kappa,\eta,f}$ for $f > 0$.

First we look at the Green's function for the Hamiltonian $H_f = H_{\kappa,\infty,f}$ for $\Im z > 0$. This function is constructed from the left and right square integrable solutions of the differential equation $-a''(x) + fxa(x) = za(x)$. They can both be written in terms of the Airy function defined as $A(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(tx+t^3/3)}dt$. They are respectively,

$$\psi(x) = A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}(1 - \tfrac{xf}{z})\right) \text{ and } \phi(x) = A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}(1 - \tfrac{xf}{z})\right)$$

where $\omega = e^{i2\pi/3}$. From [2], $A(0) = [3^{2/3}\Gamma(2/3)]^{-1}$ and $A'(0) = -[3^{1/3}\Gamma(1/3)]^{-1}$. Using $\Gamma(1/3)\Gamma(2/3) = \frac{\pi}{\sin\pi/3}$ we can compute the Wronskian:

$$\mathcal{W} = \psi'\phi - \phi'\psi = f^{1/3}\frac{\sin\frac{\pi}{3}}{3\pi}(1-\omega) = f^{1/3}e^{-i\pi/6}/2\pi.$$

Thus it is an easy matter to write down the kernel of the resolvent of H_f. Namely for $\Im z > 0$ and $x < y$

$$G_z^{(0)}(x,y) = \mathcal{W}^{-1}\psi(x)\phi(y).$$

As one might expect this is analytic everywhere. For a detailed analysis of this operator see for example [15].

D.2.1 Behavior at the origin of D_f for the shape resonance plus electric field

Lemma D.1 *Given $\epsilon > 0$, consider $D_f(z)$ where $|z|f^{-2/3} < f^{\epsilon}$. Then for small $f > 0$*

$$D_f(z) = f^{-1/3}\left[c_1 + c_2(zf^{-2/3}) + c_3 f^{1/3} + O((|z|f^{-2/3} + f^{1/3})^2)\right]$$

with the c_j depending only on η and κ and with c_1 nonzero.

Proof The value of the Airy function and its derivative at zero are, ([2] 10.4.4; 10.4.5)

$$a_0 = A(0) = \frac{1}{3^{2/3}\Gamma(2/3)} \text{ and } a_1 = A'(0) = \frac{-1}{3^{1/3}\Gamma(1/3)}. \tag{D.3}$$

Then the Maclaurin series is derived from the basic equation $A'' - zA = 0$. So $A''(0) = 0$ and if $A(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ we have $a_{k+3} = \frac{1}{(k+3)(k+2)} a_k$. Thus

$$A(z) = a_0 \left(1 + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{4z^6}{6!} + \cdots\right) + a_1 \left(z + \frac{2z^4}{4!} + \frac{2 \cdot 5 z^7}{7!} + \cdots\right) = a_0 g_0(z) + a_1 g_1(z)$$

Let $\zeta_\pm = -zf^{-2/3} \pm \kappa f^{1/3}$, then $\alpha_\pm = A(\omega\zeta_\pm)A(\zeta_\pm)$ and $\beta_\pm = A(\omega\zeta_\mp)A(\zeta_\pm)$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_\pm &= (a_0 g_0(\zeta_\pm))^2 + \omega(a_1 g_1(\zeta_\pm))^2 + a_0 a_1(1+\omega) g_0(\zeta_\pm) g_1(\zeta_\pm), \\
\beta_\pm &= a_0^2 g_0(\zeta_-) g_0(\zeta_+) + a_1^2 \omega g_1(\zeta_-) g_1(\zeta_+) + a_0 a_1 (g_0(\zeta_\mp) g_1(\zeta_\pm) + \omega g_0(\zeta_\pm) g_1(\zeta_\mp)), \\
\beta_- - \beta_+ &= a_0 a_1 (\omega - 1) \left[g_0(\zeta_-) g_1(\zeta_+) - g_0(\zeta_+) g_1(\zeta_-) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

To compute $D_f(z)$ for small z and small f we introduce the symbol $|\zeta| = |z| f^{-2/3} + f^{1/3}$. Using the Taylor series above we see that

$$g_0(\zeta_-) g_1(\zeta_+) - g_0(\zeta_+) g_1(\zeta_-) = (\zeta_+ - \zeta_-)(g_1'(\zeta_-) g_0(\zeta_-) - g_1(\zeta_-) g_0'(\zeta_-)) + (\zeta_+ - \zeta_-)^2 O(|\zeta|^2). \tag{D.5}$$

Noticing that (D.5) contains a Wronskian, we evaluate it at 0 instead of ζ_- and find

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ = -a_0 a_1 (1-\omega) 2\kappa f^{1/3} + O(f^{2/3}|\zeta|^2). \tag{D.6}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_+ + \alpha_- &= 2a_0^2 - 2a_0 a_1 (1+\omega) z f^{-2/3} + O(|\zeta|^2) \\
\beta_+ &= a_0^2 - a_0 a_1 ((1+\omega) z f^{-2/3} - (1-\omega)\kappa f^{1/3}) + O(|\zeta|^2) \\
\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+) &= a_0^3 a_1 (\omega - 1) 2\kappa f^{1/3} - 2(a_0 a_1)^2 \left[(\omega^2 - 1)\kappa (z f^{-2/3}) f^{1/3} + \kappa^2 (1-\omega)^2 f^{2/3} \right] + O(f^{1/3}|\zeta|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{D.7}$$

Thus using the value of $a_0 a_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(z) &= 1 + \beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+)/(\eta \mathcal{W})^2 + (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)/\eta\mathcal{W} \\
&= 4\pi a_0^2 e^{i\pi/6} \eta^{-2} (\eta + \kappa) f^{-1/3} + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{3}} \eta^{-2} (\kappa + \eta)(z f^{-2/3}) f^{-1/3} + 1 - 2(\frac{\kappa}{\eta})^2 + O(f^{-1/3}|\zeta|^2)).
\end{aligned} \tag{D.8}$$

■

D.2.2 Properties of the Airy function

We collect here some useful formulas for Airy functions from [2]. The first, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, is the identity of rotations of parameters of the Airy function, ([2], line 10.4.7),

$$A(z) + \omega A(\omega z) + \bar{\omega} A(\bar{\omega} z) = 0. \tag{D.9}$$

The following is the asymptotic expansion of the Airy function. For $|\arg z| < \pi$, we have, ([2] 10.4.59)

$$A(z) \sim \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi} z^{1/4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3} z^{3/2}} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k c_k \left[\frac{3}{2z^{3/2}}\right]^k \tag{D.10}$$

where $c_0 = 1$ and $c_k = \frac{\Gamma\left(3k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{54^k k!\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)}$. The following two asymptotic formulas are derived from (D.10). Let $\omega^{1/2} = e^{i\pi/3}$ and $\theta_\pm = 1 - \frac{\pm\kappa f}{z}$. The asymptotic form for z rotated by ω and $\bar{\omega}$ become, for $f > 0$ and $f^{-2/3}|z|$ large, in the region $-\frac{2}{3}\pi + \epsilon < \arg z < \frac{4}{3}\pi - \epsilon$

$$A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) \;=\; A\left(\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}[\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm]\right) \sim \frac{e^{i\pi/12}f^{1/6}}{(2\sqrt{\pi})z^{1/4}\theta_\pm^{1/4}} e^{i\frac{2}{3}\frac{[z\theta_\pm]^{3/2}}{f}} S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z) \tag{D.11}$$

and in $-\frac{4}{3}\pi + \epsilon < \arg z < \frac{2}{3}\pi - \epsilon$

$$A\left(-\bar{\omega}\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) \;=\; A\left(\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}[\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm]\right) \sim \frac{e^{-i\pi/12}f^{1/6}}{(2\sqrt{\pi})z^{1/4}\theta_\pm^{1/4}} e^{-i\frac{2}{3}\frac{[z\theta_\pm]^{3/2}}{f}} S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z) \tag{D.12}$$

where

$$S(\theta z) = \sum (-1)^k c_k \left(\frac{3f}{2[z\theta]^{3/2}}\right)^k \tag{D.13}$$

having required $|\arg(-\omega' z)| < \pi - \epsilon$, for $\omega' \in \{\omega, \bar{\omega}\}$. Here by writing $=$ we do not imply convergence of the series.

Similarly for $-2\pi + \epsilon < \arg z < 0 - \epsilon$

$$A\left(-\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) = A\left(e^{i\pi}\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-i\pi/4}f^{1/6}}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}\theta_\pm^{1/4}} e^{i\frac{2[z\theta_\pm]^{3/2}}{3f}} S(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm z) \tag{D.14}$$

and for $2\pi - \epsilon > \arg z > 0 + \epsilon$

$$A\left(-\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) = A\left(e^{-i\pi}\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) \sim \frac{e^{i\pi/4}f^{1/6}}{2\sqrt{\pi}z^{1/4}\theta_\pm^{1/4}} e^{-i\frac{2[z\theta_\pm]^{3/2}}{3f}} S(e^{-i\pi}\theta_\pm z). \tag{D.15}$$

D.2.3 Approximation of D_f in the region $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{|z| > f^a\}$.

In this section we derive approximations for the function D_f in the set $\mathcal{R}_0$ from the asymptotic expansions stated above. To determine the behavior of the determinant in this region we will have to break it into 3 'patches' where there are adequate asymptotic expansions for all terms. First we state some elementary approximations which will be used frequently. First we expand powers of $\theta_\pm$ defined in Section 3.2 in orders of f, and similarly powers of the product $\theta_+\theta_- = 1 - (\kappa f/z)^2$. We have

$$\theta_\pm^p = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p)_k}{k!}\left(\mp\frac{\kappa f}{z}\right)^k; \quad \text{and} \quad (\theta_+\theta_-)^p = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k(p)_k}{k!}\left(\frac{\kappa f}{z}\right)^{2k} \tag{D.16}$$

where $(p)_k = p(p-1)\cdots(p-k+1)$. We apply the θ approximation to the exponential terms which gives

$$e^{i\frac{2}{3f}z^{3/2}\theta_\pm^{3/2}} = e^{i\frac{2}{3f}z^{3/2} \mp i\kappa z^{1/2} + i\frac{f\kappa^2}{4}z^{-1/2}}\left(1 + O(z^{-3/2}f^2)\right). \tag{D.17}$$

The 4 expressions for the 'S-terms' in (D.11) - (D.15) result in two expansions, which are easily derived. First notice that for small f

$$\theta_\pm^p = 1 + O\left(\frac{f}{|z|}\right) = 1 + O(f^{1-a}) = O(1)$$

as we are in the region $\mathcal{R}_0$. We also obtain

$$S(e^{-i\pi}\theta_\pm z) = S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z) = \sum_k i^k c_k \left(\frac{3f}{2z^{3/2}\theta_\pm^{3/2}}\right)^k = 1 + ic_1\frac{3f}{2z^{3/2}\theta_\pm^{3/2}} + O\left(f^2 z^{-3}\right). \tag{D.18}$$

(D.19)

The last term follows since $\theta_\pm = O(1)$ so we can replace all higher order terms with an error of the lowest order term which is $O(f^2 z^{-3}) = O(f^{2-3a})$. Then applying the approximation of $\theta_\pm = 1 + O(f/|z|)$ we have

$$S(e^{-i\pi}\theta_\pm z) = S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z) = 1 + ic_1\frac{3f}{2z^{3/2}} + f^2 z^{-5/2} O\left(1 + |z|^{-1/2}\right), \tag{D.20}$$

$$S(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm z) = S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z) = \sum_k (-i)^k c_k \left(\frac{3f}{2z^{3/2}\theta_\pm^{3/2}}\right)^k = 1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{2z^{3/2}} + f^2 z^{-5/2} O\left(1 + |z|^{-1/2}\right). \tag{D.21}$$

Eastern patch First we cover a region given by the sector $-2\pi/3 + \epsilon < \arg z < 2\pi/3 - \epsilon$. We will approximate D_f to the first order in f (after the exponential) by the function,

$$D^{(E)} := \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left\{h_0(z) + 2e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f} + i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[\left(1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right) g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) - i\frac{\kappa\eta f}{z^{1/2}}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right]\right\}$$

where

$$h_0(z) = \left(2\eta\sqrt{z} + i\right)^2 + e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}.$$

We also use the following two error terms:

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \frac{f^2}{z^2}\left(1 + |z|^{-2}\right) e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f} + i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}} + 2\kappa|\Im\sqrt{z}|}.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_2 = \frac{f^2}{z^{5/2}}\left(1 + |z|^{-3/2}\right)\left(1 + e^{-\kappa 4\Im\sqrt{z}}\right).$$

Lemma D.2 *For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, $a < 1/2$, and sufficiently small $f > 0$ we have the approximation*

$$D_f = D^{(E)} + O(\mathcal{E}_1) + O(\mathcal{E}_2)$$

in the set

$$\{z : |z| > f^a; |\arg z| < 2\pi/3 - \epsilon\}.$$

Proof We apply (D.9) to the $\beta_\pm$,

$$\beta_\pm = A(-\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+)A(-\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm) = A(-\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+)[-\omega A(-\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm) - \bar\omega A(-\bar\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm)]. \tag{D.22}$$

The first term on the right is invariant under $+ \to -$ so

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ = \bar\omega\left[A(-\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-)A(-\bar\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+)] - A(-\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+)A(-\bar\omega\tfrac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-)\right]. \tag{D.23}$$

We apply formulas (D.11) and (D.12) to (D.23) to find (using $\theta_\pm - \theta_\mp = \pm(\theta_+ - \theta_-)$)

$$\bar\omega A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)A\left(-\bar\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\mp\right) \;\sim\; \bar\omega\frac{f^{1/3}S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\mp)}{4\pi z^{1/2}[\theta_+\theta_-]^{1/4}}e^{\pm i\frac{2z^{3/2}}{3f}(\theta_+^{3/2}-\theta_-^{3/2})}.$$

The ratio of the S terms to the θ terms is approximated with (D.16), (D.20) and (D.21),

$$\frac{S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\mp)}{[\theta_+\theta_-]^{1/4}} = 1 + O(f^2|z|^{-2}) + f^2|z|^{-5/2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1/2}\right) = 1 + f^2|z|^{-2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right).$$

We then apply (D.17) to obtain,

$$\bar\omega A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)A\left(-\bar\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\mp\right) \;=\; \bar\omega\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}e^{\mp i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{f^2}{|z|^{3/2}}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\right)\right). \quad \text{(D.24)}$$

Substituting into (D.23) gives,

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ \;=\; i\bar\omega\frac{f^{1/3}}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\left[\sin\left(2\kappa\sqrt{z}\right)+O\left(f^2|z|^{-3/2}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)e^{2\kappa|\Im\sqrt{z}|}\right)\right]. \quad \text{(D.25)}$$

We prepare to multiply by β_+; turning to (D.22) we find we need to approximate the first term on the right in (D.22). The ratio of the S term to the θ term is

$$\frac{S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_+)S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_-)}{[\theta_+\theta_-]^{1/4}} = 1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^2}(1+|z|^{-1})\right).$$

Furthermore, applying (D.17) to the exponential terms we have

$$-\omega A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+\right)A\left(-\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-\right) = \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}+O\left(f^2|z|^{-3/2}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\right)\right).$$

We combine the term above and (D.24) into (D.22) to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_+ = \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\Bigg[&\left(e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)+ie^{i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right) \\ &+O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^{3/2}}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\left(\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|+e^{-2\kappa\Im\sqrt{z}}\right)\right)\Bigg]\end{aligned} \quad \text{(D.26)}$$

We combine the above and (D.25) to obtain

$$\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+) = e^{-i\pi/3}\frac{f^{2/3}}{8\pi^2 z}\left(e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)+ie^{i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right)\sin\left(2\kappa\sqrt{z}\right)+f^{2/3}\mathcal{E}_\beta,$$

where the error term $\mathcal{E}_\beta$ is, bearing in mind that $f|z|^{-3/2} = O(f^{1-3a/2}) = O(f^{1/4})$ in $\mathcal{R}_0$,

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta = f^2|z|^{-5/2}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\left[e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}e^{2\kappa|\Im\sqrt{z}|}+1+e^{-4\kappa\Im\sqrt{z}}\right].$$

We divide by $(\mathcal{W}\eta)^2$ to obtain,

$$D^{(\beta)} = \frac{\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+)}{(\mathcal{W}\eta)^2} \;=\; \frac{1}{2\eta^2 z}\left(e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)+ie^{i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right)\sin\left(2\kappa\sqrt{z}\right)+\mathcal{E}_\beta.$$

Now we turn to the term $\alpha_\pm$. We again apply (D.9) to the ϕ term to obtain

$$\alpha_\pm = A\left(\frac{-z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right)A\left(\frac{-\omega z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) = -\omega A^2\left(\frac{-\omega z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) - \bar\omega A\left(\frac{-\omega z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right)A\left(\frac{-\bar\omega z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right). \tag{D.27}$$

After applying (D.11) the first term on the right becomes

$$-\omega A^2\left(\frac{-\omega z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) \sim \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}\frac{S^2\left(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm\right)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}}e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}\theta_\pm^{3/2}}.$$

The ratio of S to θ terms is

$$\frac{S^2\left(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm\right)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}} = 1 \pm \frac{\kappa f}{2z} - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + \frac{f^2}{z^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right).$$

Then applying (D.17) to the exponential term we have

$$-\omega A^2\left(\frac{-\omega z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) = \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}\mp i2\kappa\sqrt{z}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1\pm\frac{\kappa f}{2z} - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + \frac{f^2}{z^{3/2}}O\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\right). \tag{D.28}$$

Next we apply (D.11) and (D.12) to the second term on the right hand side of (D.27) and we immediately see the exponential terms cancel

$$-\bar\omega A\left(-\omega\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right)A\left(-\bar\omega\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right) \sim \frac{e^{i\pi/3}f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}}. \tag{D.29}$$

On the other hand, the ratio of the S terms to the θ terms is constant to order 2 in f

$$\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}} = 1 + \frac{f^2}{z^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)$$

Therefore we have,

$$\alpha_+ + \alpha_- = \frac{e^{i\pi/3}f^{1/3}}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\left(1 + e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[-i\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right) - \frac{\kappa f}{2z}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right]\right) + f^{1/3}\mathcal{E}_\alpha$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha = \frac{f^2}{z^{5/2}}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right) + \frac{f^2}{z^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}e^{2\kappa|\Im z|}.$$

Thus

$$D^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\mathcal{W}\eta} = \frac{i}{\eta\sqrt{z}}\left(1 + e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[-i\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right) - \frac{\kappa f}{2z}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right]\right) + \mathcal{E}_\alpha.$$

So

$$D_f = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left\{h_0(z) + 2e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) - i\frac{\kappa\eta f}{z^{1/2}}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right]\right\} + \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 \tag{D.30}$$

where

$$h_0(z) \;=\; \left(2\eta\sqrt{z}+i\right)^2 + e^{i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}$$

and the error terms $\mathcal{E}_\alpha + \mathcal{E}_\beta$ are of order $\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2$. ∎

The Southern patch The second patch covers the sector $-\frac{4}{3}\pi+\epsilon < \arg z < -\epsilon$. Define the function

$$D^{(S)}(z) = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left\{\tilde{h}_0(z) + 2e^{i\frac{4}{3f}z^{3/2}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) - i\frac{\kappa\eta f}{z^{1/2}}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right]\right\}$$

with $\tilde{h}_0(z) = (2\eta\sqrt{z}-i)^2 + e^{-i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}$.

Lemma D.3 *For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, $a < 1/2$, and sufficiently small $f > 0$ we have the approximation*

$$D_f = D^{(S)} + O(\mathcal{E}_1) + O(\mathcal{E}_2')$$

in the set

$$\{z : |z| > f^a; -4\pi/3 + \epsilon < \arg z < -\epsilon\}.$$

Here

$$\mathcal{E}_2' = \frac{f^2}{z^{5/2}}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\left(1+e^{-i\kappa 4\sqrt{z}}\right).$$

Proof First the $\beta_\pm$ are approximated by applying $\bar{\omega}$ times the rotation identity (D.9) to the ψ term in (3.6) so we can write

$$\beta_\pm = -A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)\left[\bar{\omega}A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\mp\right) + \omega A\left(-\bar{\omega}\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\mp\right)\right]. \tag{D.31}$$

A similar operation can be carried out for the $\alpha_\pm$ terms,

$$\alpha_\pm = -A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)\left[\bar{\omega}A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) + \omega A\left(-\bar{\omega}\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)\right]. \tag{D.32}$$

The first term of (D.31) is invariant under $+\to-$ and therefore the difference of the β terms is

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ = \omega\left[A\left(-\bar{\omega}\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-\right)A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+\right) - A\left(-\bar{\omega}\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+\right)A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-\right)\right].$$

We apply the approximations (D.12) and (D.14) to the above formula giving

$$\omega A\left(-\frac{z\theta_\pm}{f^{2/3}}\right)A\left(-\bar{\omega}\frac{z\theta_\mp}{f^{2/3}}\right) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\mp)S(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm)}{(\theta_+\theta_-)^{1/4}}e^{\pm i\frac{2z^{3/2}}{3f}\left(\theta_+^{3/2}-\theta_-^{3/2}\right)}. \tag{D.33}$$

We apply (D.16), (D.20) and (D.21) to the θ and S terms to obtain the approximation

$$\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\mp)S(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm)}{(\theta_+\theta_-)^{1/4}} = 1 + O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^2}\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right). \tag{D.34}$$

Then applying (D.17) to the exponential terms leads to

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ = \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}f^{1/3}}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\left[\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z}) + O\left(\frac{f^2}{|z|^{3/2}}e^{\kappa 2|\Im\sqrt{z}|}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\right)\right]. \tag{D.35}$$

Now we have from (D.14)

$$-\bar{\omega}A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+\right)A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-\right) = e^{-i\pi/6}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}\frac{S(e^{i\pi}\theta_+)S(e^{i\pi}\theta_-)}{(\theta_+\theta_-)^{1/4}}e^{i\frac{2z^{3/2}}{3f}(\theta_+^{3/2}+\theta_-^{3/2})}. \tag{D.36}$$

Using (D.13) and (D.16) we have the following approximation for the product of S terms divided by $(\theta_+\theta_-)^{-1/4}$,

$$\frac{S(e^{i\pi}\theta_+)S(e^{i\pi}\theta_-)}{(\theta_+\theta_-)^{1/4}} = 1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^2}\left(|z|^{-1}+1\right)\right). \tag{D.37}$$

To complete the approximation, we apply (D.17) to the exponential term finding

$$-\bar{\omega}A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_+\right)A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_-\right) = e^{-i\pi/6}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^2}\left(|z|^{-1}+1\right)\right)\right].$$

We have the approximation, for β_+ of (D.31) combining (D.33) and approximations (D.34) and (D.17), and the approximation of (D.36),

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_+ = \frac{e^{-i\pi/6}f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}\Bigg[&-ie^{-i2\kappa\sqrt{z}} + e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)\\ &+O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^2}\left(e^{2\kappa\Im\sqrt{z}} + \left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right|\right)\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right)\Bigg].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the above and (D.35) we have the approximation

$$\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+) = \frac{e^{-i\pi/3}f^{2/3}}{16\pi^2 z}\left[-\left(1-e^{-i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right) + 2\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)\right] + f^{2/3}O(\mathcal{E}_\beta).$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_\beta = \frac{f^2}{z^{5/2}}\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)\left(e^{2\kappa|\Im\sqrt{z}|}\left|e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\right| + 1 + e^{\kappa 4\Im\sqrt{z}}\right).$$

So the $D^{(\beta)} = \frac{\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+)}{\eta^2\mathcal{W}^2}$ term is

$$D^{(\beta)} = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left[-\left(1-e^{-i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right) + 2\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1 - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)\right] + O\left(\mathcal{E}_\beta\right). \tag{D.38}$$

We now consider the $\alpha_\pm$ terms. First we use the (D.14) expansion to obtain,

$$-\bar{\omega}A^2\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) \sim e^{-i\pi/6}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\frac{S^2(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm z)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}}e^{i\frac{4[z\theta_\pm]^{3/2}}{3f}}.$$

The ratio of the S^2 term and the θ terms is approximated as

$$\frac{S^2(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm z)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}} = 1 \pm \frac{\kappa f}{2z} - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^2}(1+|z|^{-1})\right).$$

On the other hand, the exponential term is

$$e^{i\frac{4[z\theta_\pm]^{3/2}}{3f}} = e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}\mp i2\kappa\sqrt{z}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{f^2}{z^{3/2}}\right)\right).$$

Thus,

$$-\bar{\omega}A^2\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) = e^{-i\pi/6}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}e^{i\frac{4z^{3/2}}{3f}\mp i2\kappa\sqrt{z}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(1 \pm \frac{\kappa f}{2z} - ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}} + \frac{f^2}{z^{3/2}}O(1+|z|^{-3/2})\right). \tag{D.39}$$

In the second term, we use (D.12) and (D.14) noting that the exponential terms cancel

$$-\omega A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)A\left(-\bar\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) \;\sim\; -\omega\frac{e^{-i\pi/3}f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}\theta_\pm^{1/2}}S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm z). \tag{D.40}$$

The ratio of the S terms to θ term is,

$$\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(e^{i\pi}\theta_\pm z)}{\theta_\pm^{1/2}} = \left(1\pm\frac{f\kappa}{2z}+O(f^2/z^2)\right)\left(1+\frac{f^2}{z^2}O(1+|z|^{-1})\right).$$

Thus

$$-\omega A\left(-\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right)A\left(-\bar\omega\frac{z}{f^{2/3}}\theta_\pm\right) = \frac{\bar\omega f^{1/3}}{4\pi\sqrt{z}}\left(1\pm\frac{f\kappa}{2z}+\frac{f^2}{z^2}O(1+|z|^{-1})\right).$$

Therefore, combining the above and (D.39), we have,

$$\alpha_+ + \alpha_- = e^{-i\pi/6}\frac{f^{1/3}}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\left[-i+e^{i\frac{4}{3f}z^{3/2}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)-i\frac{\kappa f}{2z}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right)\right]+f^{1/3}\mathcal{E}_\alpha$$

where the error term is

$$\mathcal{E}_\alpha = \frac{f^2}{z^2}e^{i\frac{4}{3f}z^{3/2}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}O\left(e^{-i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}+e^{i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right)O(1+|z|^{-3/2})+\frac{f^2}{z^{5/2}}O(1+|z|^{-1}).$$

Finally, we have,

$$\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\eta\mathcal{W}} = \frac{1}{\eta\sqrt{z}}\left[-i+e^{i\frac{4}{3f}z^{3/2}v+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left(\cos(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)-i\frac{\kappa f}{2z}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right)\right]+\mathcal{E}_\alpha. \tag{D.41}$$

Now we combine (D.38) and (D.41) to find,

$$D_f(z) = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left\{\tilde h_0(z)+2e^{i\frac{4}{3f}z^{3/2}+i\frac{f\kappa^2}{2\sqrt{z}}}\left[\left(1-ic_1\frac{3f}{z^{3/2}}\right)g_{\eta/\kappa}(2\kappa\sqrt{z})-i\frac{\kappa\eta f}{z^{1/2}}\sin(2\kappa\sqrt{z})\right]\right\}+\mathcal{E}_\alpha+\mathcal{E}_\beta$$

where

$$\tilde h_0(z) = \left(\eta 2\sqrt{z}-i\right)^2+e^{-i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}.$$

■

The Northern patch The third patch covers the sector $\epsilon < \arg z < \frac{4}{3}\pi-\epsilon$. Let h_0 be defined as in (3.8).

Lemma D.4 *For fixed $\epsilon>0$, $a<1/2$, and sufficiently small $f>0$ we have the approximation*

$$D_f = \frac{h_0(z)}{4z\eta^2}+\frac{f^2}{z^{5/2}}O\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right)$$

in the set

$$\{z : |z|>f^a; \epsilon<\arg z<4\pi/3-\epsilon\}.$$

Proof In this patch we can use the (D.11) and (D.15) expressions in $\alpha_\pm$ and $\beta_\pm$. Observe:

$$\frac{S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\mp z)}{[\theta_+\theta_-]^{1/4}} = 1 + \frac{f^2}{|z|^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right);$$

$$\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)}{[\theta_\pm]^{1/2}} = (1+f^2z^{-5/2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1/2}\right))(1\pm\frac{\kappa f}{2z}+O(f^2/|z|^2)) = 1\pm\frac{\kappa f}{2z}+(f^2/|z|^2)O(1+|z|^{-1})$$

Thus we have the approximations

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_\pm &= e^{i\pi/3}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\frac{S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\mp z)}{[\theta_+\theta_-]^{1/4}}e^{\pm i\frac{2z^{3/2}}{3f}(\theta_-^{3/2}-\theta_+^{3/2})} \\ &= e^{i\pi/3}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}e^{\pm i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\left[1+\frac{f^2}{|z|^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{D.42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\pm &= e^{i\pi/3}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\frac{S(\omega^{1/2}\theta_\pm z)S(\omega^{-1/2}\theta_\pm z)}{[\theta_\pm]^{1/2}} \\ &= e^{i\pi/3}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\left[1\pm\frac{\kappa f}{2z}+\frac{f^2}{|z|^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{D.43}$$

Thus we have

$$\beta_- - \beta_+ = -e^{i\pi/3}\frac{f^{1/3}}{4\pi z^{1/2}}\left[\left(e^{+i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}-e^{-i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}\right)+\frac{f^2}{|z|^2}e^{-i2\kappa\sqrt{z}}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right]$$

and multiplying by β_+ and dividing by $(\eta\mathcal{W})^2$ we have,

$$\frac{\beta_+(\beta_- - \beta_+)}{\eta^2\mathcal{W}^2} = \frac{1}{4z\eta^2}\left[\left(e^{+i4\kappa\sqrt{z}}-1\right)+\frac{f^2}{|z|^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{D.44}$$

and we have also,

$$\frac{\alpha_+ + \alpha_-}{\mathcal{W}\eta} = \frac{i}{\eta\sqrt{z}}\left[1+\frac{f^2}{|z|^2}O\left(1+|z|^{-1}\right)\right].$$

Thus in this region we have,

$$D_f(z) = D_0(z)+\frac{f^2}{|z|^{5/2}}O\left(1+|z|^{-3/2}\right) \tag{D.45}$$

where $D_0(z) = \frac{h_0(z)}{4z\eta^2}$ as calculated in Section 3 ∎

References

[1] J.E. Avron, I.W. Herbst. Spectral and scattering theory of Schrödinger operators related to the Stark effect. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 52: 239-254, 1977.

[2] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Dover, New York, ninth dover printing, 1964.

[3] Shmuel Agmon. A perturbation theory of resonances. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 51(11-12):1255–1309, 1998.

[4] L. Catteneo, G.M. Graf, W. Hunziker. A general resonance theory based on Mourre's inequality, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 7: 583–601, 2006.

[5] C. Cerjan, W. P. Reinhardt, J.E.Avron. Spectra of atomic Hamiltonians in DC fields: Use of the numerical range to investigate the effect of a dilatation transformation, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Phys.*,11: L201–L205 ,1978.

[6] S. Graffi, V. Grecchi. Resonances in Stark effect and perturbation theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 62(1): 83–96, 1978.

[7] S. Graffi, V. Grecchi. Resonances in Stark effect of atomic systems, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 79(1): 91–109 1981.

[8] I. Herbst. Dilation analyticity in constant electric field, I. The two body problem, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 64: 279–298, 1979.

[9] Ira Herbst and Juliane Rama. Instability of pre-existing resonances under a small constant electric field. *Annales Henri Poincaré*, 16(12): 2783–2835, 2015.

[10] Ira W. Herbst and Barry Simon. Dilation analyticity in constant electric field. II. N-body problem, Borel summability. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 80(2):181–216, 1981.

[11] Walter Hunziker. Distortion analyticity and molecular resonance curves. *Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré (A) Physique thorique*, 45(4):339–358, 1986.

[12] Walter Hunziker. Resonances, metastable states and exponential decay laws in perturbation theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 132(1):177–188, 1990.

[13] M. Klein, J. Rama, R. Wüst. Time evolution of quantum resonance states, *Asympt. Anal.*, 51(1) 1–16.

[14] Evgeny Korotyaev and Alexander Pushnitski. Trace formulae and high energy asymptotics for the Stark operator *Comm. P.D.E.*, 28(3-4):817–842, 2003.

[15] Yang Liu. Scattering and spectral theory for Stark Hamiltonians in one dimension. *Mathematica Scandinavica*, 72(2):265–297, 1993.

[16] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics: Functional analysis*, volume 1. Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[17] Edward C. Titchmarsh. *Theory of functions.* Oxford University Press. 1976.

[18] Dmitriĭ Yafaev. *Scattering theory: some old and new problems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1735. Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[19] T. Yamabe, A. Tachibana, H. J. Silverstone. Theory of the ionization of the hydrogen atom by an external electrostatic field. *Phys. Rev. A* , 16: 877-890, 1977.